Case 5:63-cv-00109-MHH Document 726 Filed 01/31/22 Page 1 of 108

1 FILED 2022 Jan-31 AM 09:50

U.S. DISTRICT COURT N.D. OF ALABAMA UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 1 FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF ALABAMA 2 NORTHEASTERN DIVISION 3 SONNIE WELLINGTON HEREFORD, 4 IV, et al., 5 Plaintiffs, 5:63-cv-109-MHH 6 January 21, 2022 VS. 7 1:00 p.m. HUNTSVILLE BOARD OF EDUCATION, 8 Birmingham, Alabama Defendant. 9 10 11 TRANSCRIPT OF VIDEOCONFERENCE HEARING 12 RE: DAC REPORT BEFORE THE HONORABLE MADELINE HUGHES HAIKALA 13 UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE 14 15 16 17 18 19 2.0 21 22 23 24 25

1		
2	For the Plaintiffs:	John Mark Debro Grace, Matthews & Debro
3		108 North Jefferson St. Huntsville, AL 35801
4		
5	For Intervenor Plaintiffs:	Andrea E. Hamilton Kelly Gardner Robin Deykes United States Department of Justice Civil Rights Division Educational Opportunities Section 950 Pennsylvania Ave, NW Washington, DC 20530
6		
7		
8		
9		
10	For the Defendant:	Christopher M. Pape J.R. Brooks Zachary Braden Roberson Lanier, Ford, Shaver & Payne 2101 West Clinton Avenue, Suite 102
11		
12		
13		Huntsville, AL 3585
14	Also Duosenti	Chui shi a Riplan
15	Also Present:	Christie Finley George Smith
16 17		
18	Court Reporter:	Leah S. Turner, RMR, CRR Federal Official Court Reporter 1729 5th Avenue North, Room 210 Birmingham, AL 35203
19		
20		Difficulty 111 33203
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
-		

This cause came to be heard and was heard on the 1 21st day of January 2022, before the Honorable Madeline Hughes Haikala, United States District Judge, holding court for 2 United States District Court, Northern District of Alabama, 3 Northeastern Division, in Birmingham, Alabama. Proceedings continued as follows: 4 PROCEEDINGS 5 THE COURT: Good afternoon, everyone. We are here 6 7 today in case 63-109. This is Hereford versus the Huntsville Board of Education, and we are here to discuss the DAC report 8 and the superintendent's response to the report. Thank you 9 10 all for being available this afternoon. Is the United States ready to proceed? 11 MS. HAMILTON: Yes, Your Honor. 12 THE COURT: Mr. Debro, are you ready? 13 MR. DEBRO: Yes, Your Honor. 14 1.5 THE COURT: And is the board ready to proceed? MR. PAPE: Yes, Your Honor. 16 THE COURT: Very good. The Court has reviewed the 17 DAC's report and the Court has reviewed the superintendent's 18 response, and I thought maybe it would be best for us just to 19 2.0 walk through that response, through the report and response. And, Mr. Pape, maybe the best place to start before we dig in 21 to the report is introductions. There may be some 2.2 introductions you would like to make this afternoon. 2.3 24 MR. PAPE: Yes, Your Honor. Thank you very much for

the opportunity to do that. In trying to make sure we balance

2.5

the technological aspects of having a Zoom hearing, just for the sake of the court reporter, we do have a few of us in the same room, thus the mask, and so we are going to try to use a single mic coming through my screen if possible, if anyone else needs to speak. If that doesn't work or we're not loud enough, we're happy to pause briefly to make sure we can get the people unmuted correctly without having the echo sound if at all possible. So I just wanted to put that on everyone's radar that we will try to navigate that.

THE COURT: Thank you.

MR. PAPE: As far as introductions, we have two of the district team with us today. We have the superintendent Ms. Christie Finley, who is present, to participate in our meeting today, and we also have Dr. George Smith, who is the chief student equity officer. He is also present here today. I know that the Court has met with Ms. Finley in the past, both in her previous role and in her current role, but I don't believe — although the Court has read testimony through affidavits from Dr. Smith in the past, at least as to the transportation motion for unitary status, but I don't believe that Dr. Smith has ever had the opportunity to actually be before the Court in any official proceedings, so I think this is his first time.

THE COURT: Very good. I guess one other just preliminary matter, Ms. Finley pointed out in her response and

I think the DAC did a good job of acknowledging in its report that the past couple of years have been extremely challenging for the Huntsville school district as they have been for every school trying to operate in the midst of the pandemic, and so the Court certainly recognizes that and understands that information that is available to the Court in both the district's annual report and in the DAC conversation with the district is going to be impacted by all the different ways in which the pandemic has affected education.

The Court also recognizes that the district, unfortunately, to add to a difficult situation, the district was the victim of a cyber attack in the past school year and that that impacted the district in various ways. Perhaps the most significant, for purposes of our conversation, is the district's ability to process applications for M-to-M transfers.

So the Court is sensitive to those two issues and believes that we still can have a constructive conversation about the information in the DAC report, with all of us recognizing that the pandemic to the greatest extent and the cyber attack to a lesser extent are factors in everything that we are seeing this year.

So if there are any other preliminary matters, preliminary remarks that anyone would like to make, the floor is open, so please let me know if anybody would like to speak.

All right. Well, why don't we, then, just walk 1 through the report. 2 3 Mr. Pape, were you planning to take the lead today? MR. PAPE: Yes, Your Honor. 4 5 THE COURT: Then I will hand this over to you. MR. PAPE: Your Honor, I'm happy to take the factors 6 7 in the order of the report, but I thought potentially it might make more sense -- I expect that there will be a couple of 8 factors that will be briefer in discussion and then maybe a 9 10 few that, just given the history and recent events, might take a bit longer. If the Court is okay with maybe jumping around 11 just a little bit, we may try to start with the less detailed 12 factors or the issues that I expect will take less time. 13 THE COURT: 14 That's fine with me. Is that okay with 15 you, Ms. Hamilton? 16 MS. HAMILTON: Yes, Your Honor. That's fine. THE COURT: Mr. Debro, is that all right with you? 17 MR. DEBRO: Yes, that's fine with me, Your Honor. 18 Sound good. All right. Mr. Pape? 19 THE COURT: 20 MR. PAPE: Thank you, Your Honor. The first factor that we wanted to highlight was the student assignment factor. 21 22 This is one that the DAC identified both some positives related to the M-to-M and magnet processing, and they also 23 raised concerns pertaining to the growth in the district, I 24 25 think were two of the major issues, and I think I can speak

briefly to those.

The Court has already recognized in the opening remarks the major impact that the district felt for student assignment was unfortunately the ill timed — I don't know if there's ever a good time, but the ill timed cyber attack in December of 2020. That did cause the data that we reported in November on behalf of the district to be different than what we have reported in the past. It was impacted, and largely where the impact was felt were in those mechanisms and safeguards that the district has in place to minimize families applying for schools that either were likely to be full or maybe were not otherwise qualified for, which is more of an issue that we had — it's hard to say this — maybe closer to 9, 10 years ago when we were looking at this data before we got in the habit of having these systems in place that we have now.

I'm happy to say that I think that that will be a one-year problem, and confirming with Dr. Smith and other members of the district team, the process of receiving M-to-M applications this year and magnet applications this year should be back to normal. The vendor that helped us originally design the M-to-M and magnet transfer website has helped the district recreate that, and they said that outwardly facing, it should feel similar to families and the district. It's supposed to be better than before. So

hopefully it's easier to pull the data and process for the district, but I believe the safeguards are back in place, and we expect that those same issues that were present in the '20-'21 school year will not be present moving forward. So we think that's good news. Unfortunately we were not able to fix it mid year last year, but we were able to correct it this year.

Another issue that the DAC raised was about student growth and population growth, and that is an exciting issue to have for the City of Huntsville. It has been exciting for several years but it's also one that is a challenging issue. Not to belabor the point, but as I'm sure the parties can imagine and are aware of and the Court can be similarly, there's a lot of coordination that goes between the district and the City and even the Chamber of Commerce and other entities that are responsible for helping bring new business to the area and get new jobs to the area.

The district also has been working with a demographer for many years, Cooperative Strategies. They have had some of their representatives appear earlier in this matter and they have been helping the district with assessment, accountability, individuals like Dr. Smith with typical demographic approaches which look at things like live births and where we might see natural growth.

The problem has been and the difficulty has been

that certainly gives us a trajectory of where we might grow, but it doesn't account for an overnight announcement from the chamber where we add 2000 new jobs and where those individuals may live.

But the district has been working both on a round of potential — I say potential. I know the superintendent would love to not call these potential and would love just to be able to move forward with improvements, long-term facilities planning, things like 5, 10, 15 years down the road, looking to see where we might have people moving and what buildings need to be refreshed, et cetera, but the district is really — a goal for this calendar year, '22, is to take what the superintendent believes is instructionally appropriate and also embed those other layers, those other components, working with the City leaders, where we think the growth will be and how we can stage a long term plan.

The district had some sad news in December but also a pleasant surprise that came out of that. The district's CSFO accepted a job in private industry in December, which is Mr. Dustin Daehn. He had done a great job for the district over the last year and a half, and we were very sad to see him go. That's one of the downsides of Huntsville growing as it does. There's a lot of competition for jobs. But the pleasant surprise out of the change was that the district added Mr. Andy Craig, who was the deputy superintendent of

finance for the State department and he has now joined the district's team as CSFO. So he brings with him a wealth of experience as former superintendent and finance director in Hoover as well as his time in the State department. So I think he has a lot of experience with helping with long-term planning, and so his joining of the team is well-timed to be able to help with this process. So it's still in the early steps of being able to have a plan, a longer term plan, done for facilities, but it's not — we're talking about student assignment, but I think you can't talk about one without the other when we have the growth that we have.

So I just wanted to put that on the Court's radar that we did have this turnover, but we don't think we're going to see like we may have in the past some of our turnover, the interruption or the concerns about finance, because Mr. Craig has already joined the team. He has been on site now I guess going on three weeks. He has hit the ground running. He is already familiar with some of the issues that the district had with finances because he was part of the team from the State that came in to help when we had the financial concerns three, four years ago. So it's just very fortunate. If you have to have turnover, this turned into a positive situation.

So, to us, that's kind of a high-level response to some of the concerns raised by the DAC in that section, and I'm happy to answer questions or help facilitate the answering

of questions if the Court or any of the other parties have any.

THE COURT: All right. Ms. Hamilton, anything for the United States with respect to student assignment?

MS. HAMILTON: Yes, Your Honor. Before I get started, I realize that I would be remiss to not just mention that we appreciate what the DAC put into the report. As we were looking at their analysis and review of data and their discussion of complaints that have come their way and other information, it was very apparent that they put a lot of time into these reports and presenting to the Court very thoughtful and well-rounded analysis of the issues that were presented to them, and that's true for student assignment but also for many of the other areas.

THE COURT: I'm going to interrupt you real quickly just to echo what you said, Ms. Hamilton, and to acknowledge that looking at everybody who is assembled here today, I realize that there's a space missing, and that's my fault.

There should be a representative of the DAC with us today, and so I will try to make sure that I don't repeat that mistake in the future, because since the superintendent is here, since Dr. Smith is here, it would be very appropriate to have a DAC representative as well, and I'm sorry I didn't think of it earlier.

I apologize for the interruption. Please go ahead.

MS. HAMILTON: No problem at all, Your Honor. So with regard to student assignment, we appreciate the discussion that the DAC concluded in their report. For the M-to-M program specifically, our general takeaways are very similar to those of the DAC and that Mr. Pape just shared.

With regard to the cyber attack, the school district did bring that issue to our attention as soon as it happened, and we engaged in several conversations and discussions about what impact basically their computer system being taken off line had on both the M-to-M program as well as the magnet program, and we asked that the district continue to keep us updated, but we were made aware of the fact that the timeline for M-to-M process can be delayed, that the software that they used would no longer be available and that they would have to work and find an alternative in a short period of time, and we recognize that it may have impacted some aspects of the selection process.

One of the things that we saw in subsequent data was that the number of students was a rising difference compared to prior years. In fact, in the '19-'20 school year one of the things that were highlighted was that for the first time the district did not provide any school to this space. So we did want to bring that to the Court's attention.

We also understood that due to the switch to a different computer system, the district encountered an issue

where the system had accidentally extended offers to a few students at select schools where the schools didn't actually have capacity for those students, and in subsequent conversations with the district they confirmed that was the case, but also shared that because that was an issue that wasn't the fault of the students, they went ahead and granted the students those spaces notwithstanding the fact the schools were over capacity.

It is our understanding in recent conversations with the district that for the upcoming school year, the current M-to-M application process is under way. I believe the window either just closed or is about to close, in fact, and that as far as the district understands, things are proceeding much more normal compared to this past school year.

With regard to capacity issues, the things that Mr. Pape just shared regarding several of the schools being over capacity and the district experiencing growth is accurate within our assessment as well. I think in the facilities conversation, this may come up more, but one of the issues that have been brought to our attention was that the district felt the need to utilize modular units this school year when it had moved away from that practice. The superintendent actually mentioned in her — I think it was in the cover memo of the annual report, and you also heard it in recent conversations with the district, that those modular units have

not actually been utilized this school year. And, again, I'm sure we will get into that discussion later, but it's our understanding that as a work around the over capacity issues, that's a short-term solution while the district continues to explore long-term solutions that will also be helpful.

THE COURT: Thank you, Ms. Hamilton.

Mr. Debro, anything for you on student assignment?

MR. DEBRO: Nothing at this time, Your Honor. I've been monitoring as well, but a lot of the information we have had has been limited, but I've been keeping up with the reports and just kind of staying abreast of what's going on and the gains that the district is making, but I will reserve some comments for later on after the report.

THE COURT: All right. I will just say as a general comment, it likely will be something that we will circle back to later. Because it's appropriate for this Green factor, I'm going to say it, but it's probably something we can discuss as a broader issue later in our conversation.

But, Superintendent Finley, in your response to the DAC's analysis on student assignment, you indicated that you felt like the DAC didn't understand the requirements of the consent order with respect to student assignment and that that misunderstanding may have led to some inaccurate analysis on the part of the DAC, and what I read in the DAC report that I think may be the broader issue that may be worth discussion is

that the DAC actually acknowledges that the consent order is difficult to understand in several respects. It is a highly detailed order that has many requirements, and I think the DAC is interested in the district communicating the obligations that it has under that order in a way that's available to as many people as possible and not just lawyers who have been entrenched in it for years and administrators who have been responsible for implementing it. So I will just leave that there and we can perhaps circle back to it later in our conversation.

Mr. Pape, which Green factor do you want to turn to next, please?

MR. PAPE: Yes, Your Honor. I think the next one that we would address would be extracurricular activities. I will just do the same type of approach here and highlight some of the big pieces. I think that extracurricular activities is actually similar to a statement that the Court just made as well. And actually there's a few spots, and I think this might be what the Court intended in terms of circling back around. I think it is a helpful discussion because I do think — there was actually an earlier discussion that we had related to Highlands with the Court in the fall, and I will kind of jump ahead to that because I think that that might be an underlying theme throughout our response.

I do want to acknowledge again, once again, that I

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

think the board in its -- or the superintendent in the response prepared to the Court, in rereading it, understands exactly what the Court meant. I think before when we were talking about Highlands, it may be that notwithstanding that the district -- we felt like we were providing accurate information, but maybe we had missed the mark on tone in terms of a response. And I think and as an important part and maybe when we close out the Green factor, if there's a discussion, an opportunity for the superintendent to speak more directly about it, I think part of the issue -- and I took that criticism back to the district and I think it's well taken in terms of tone, because it is apparent in a few different spots in the, I think, DAC's reports, which a lot of effort went into, but they are -- as Your Honor pointed out, they are not lawyers that have worked on this for nearly a decade and have had experience with it and administrators who have been trying to work on it, and so there is an opportunity for, I think, clarifying what the requirements might mean or how we are working to achieve equity even if the terms of the consent order themselves get a little -- may lead to people talking past one another. So I do think in some of the formal response that goes into the filings, since it does go on the record, I do think sometimes and maybe that the framework -- this is just

the framework that the parties came up with in the consent

order. I do think that sometimes this framework actually ends up leading to more of a formal response since it does have that — it is on the record, and trying to preserve the aspects as best as possible, and it doesn't actually do a great job, at least the couple times I've been privy to be a part of the superintendent's communications with the DAC when they actually have their face—to—face or their Zoom, I don't think that these reports actually do a great job of capturing what that real dialogue looks like. It's typically a lot more congenial than you might get in reading the — you know, here is the document, here is the formal response.

And so I think that's evident in several sections where the district might have been a little more formal in its response and maybe the tone would not be — does not adequately reflect or accurately reflect what I think the relationship might be, and I think in this section is another situation where I think the district took some exception to the characterization of the DAC saying that there's some inequity in the clubs when the district wants to defend its position because it believes it has been trying to be equitable in its clubs. And there are some things that we want to talk about, some internal criticisms, that the district has been addressing with itself in trying to address the clubs, but I just thought that was an overarching comment that is probably worth making earlier in this presentation.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

So with that, I can -- I sort of derailed, and I apologize. Back to extracurricular activities. A couple of the major issues that the district identified, it realizes -and Dr. Smith has done a lot of work on this with Mr. Scott Stapler, who is the district's coordinator of extracurricular activities and athletics. And there has been some difficulty. I think extracurricular activities in large respects -- the data does not actually do a fantastic job, and this is on the district, but it has not done a great job of capturing some of the efforts and gains made in extracurricular activities. And what I mean by that, it is not easy with some of the State data tracking mechanisms that the district has available to it to accurately capture how some of these clubs -- how often they are meeting and the number of students participating, just due to the fluid nature of how some of these clubs meet, especially at the younger grade levels. It's not always just every day this club meets at a certain time. It may only meet a couple times a year, and we're relying on teachers to track information.

So there has been a major focus at the district level to make sure that those clubs are aligned in the consent order and also aligned to any instructional programming, anything that goes with the district's mission or strategic plan, that the district makes sure that its data is focused and accurate, because when we get these self-reported other

clubs from some of the schools, the district knows that there's some other clubs that are meeting at some of these schools but the data is not being kept, and so when we end up reporting each year, we don't — it makes it look like the DAC put out in its report that there's only a couple of these other clubs at certain schools that we know have more clubs than that but we don't have data to support it because the teachers did not, in everything else they have going, did not give us great data, and it's not necessarily — that's not to blame the teachers at all. It's just we're trying to find a way of how do we take the load off the teachers to streamline this process.

We expect that the reporting for the upcoming year will look different and have some — hopefully some backup data that we can show how we changed the processes this year to track that data throughout the year and try to improve that process to accurately depict what the schools are actually doing, hopefully alleviate some of the confusion that if the DAC members don't have better data, then how could they know what's going on, and if they have those concerns, we want to be able to show that we're actually providing these opportunities for students.

So that is a major component of the district's work this year, is doing a better job of actually capturing what we believe is happening in schools related to clubs in a way that

is not confusing and is more accurate to the Court.

There were a couple of instances raised, one or two, at the end of the extracurricular section that we also want to point out related to possible race discrimination in the DAC's 2020-'21 report, I believe. The district did not find any evidence in any of its records about which specific student this might be. There wasn't a complaint raised at the school that year. That said, we have been in communications with the United States, have talked to them that we would like to have one of our liaisons be able to communicate with the DAC to see if they could give us anymore information without violating the confidentiality of the individual who raised the concern.

It will likely be Dr. Smith who will work with the DAC to see if we can get that information, because we want to be able to address it. We also don't want to undermine the confidence in the process, but we don't have that information about who that might be. So we just did want to let the Court know we aren't ignoring that situation. We are trying to get to the bottom of it in a way that it doesn't put the DAC in a bad light or make them have to give information they are not comfortable with giving.

So I think those would be the high level things, our high level concerns for extracurricular, subject to any additional questions and concerns.

THE COURT: Ms. Hamilton?

MS. HAMILTON: Your Honor, the United States also independently reviewed the extracurricular activity data information for the '18-'19 and '19-'20 school years during this time frame, and one thing I will note at the outset is that the district is in compliance with the provision that requires that schools offer a baseline number of clubs or activities, both elementary and secondary level. So as the DAC noted, one of the issues is that to the extent that schools are offering activities beyond that core number, as they should, that there are disparities in what's being provided throughout the district.

As Mr. Pape noted, one of the areas where we've had conversations with the district is ensuring they have accurate data of what is being provided at these schools and also what the participation rates look like, and that way they can also better support these schools to ensure that schools that aren't offering a wide array of opportunities are able to better target the needs of their students and provide as many opportunities as they can.

Similar to that, Your Honor, we have also noticed — and this goes beyond the DAC's report, but the fact that participation rates have been dropping, taking into account this past school year and the end of the '19-'20 school year. I believe the superintendent may have mentioned this in her response, but we understand that the implications of Covid and

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

students not attending school in person had a very large impact on students being able to participate in extracurricular activities, which is understandable.

With that said, to the extent that some of those participation rates were still concerning, we certainly continue to encourage the district to analyze why that is. We also wanted to note that we really appreciated -- I believe it was in the '19-'20 DAC report, the DAC's comments where they articulated reasons why it is important that this is given priority. I should say increasing participation rates is a priority. And one of the things that they noted is that these clubs are a great tool for students to gain confidence and social skills, but they also link back to the fact that if students are participating in math club and other related activities in elementary and middle school, they are much more likely to enroll in honors and AP when they get in high school because they are being exposed to these more challenging opportunities.

And so we thought that was really helpful insight that the DAC provided as well as suggestions that they offered, and we wanted to point that out to the Court, and also just point that out to the district that we found that very valuable.

And the last comment I would make is just reiterating Mr. Pape's points at the end relating to the

complaints about the athletics teams and the fact that we are interested to learn more about what happened, and we appreciate the district's efforts to see if we can get more information from the DAC.

THE COURT: It sounds like, Ms. Hamilton, that you may be the person or someone on your team may be the right person to begin a conversation with the DAC to see whether there is additional detail that the parties can then use to try to understand those situations better, so thank you for any work that you do in that regard.

Mr. Debro, anything for you?

MR. DEBRO: Nothing at this time, Your Honor, other than the fact that we are still monitoring this and getting some community feedback on the matters related to the extracurricular activities and the clubs on how things have changed, and I know Covid has affected a lot of the response and a lot of the activity of the students, but we will continue to monitor what is going on when it comes down to the offerings, because it appears that there's still some inadequacies that we need to work on, so we will keep working on our end to get some additional information on that that we can share with the district and find out from the DAC as well.

THE COURT: All right. One thing that I read -- and you all can correct me if I'm wrong. Sometimes I read and then I confuse things in paperwork, so if I've done that here,

let me know. But first of all, the district has fulfilled its baseline obligation under the consent order, so that's terrific that the district is doing that, but I believe part of the district's response to the issue of club participation and just the scope of the different extracurricular activities that are available in schools across the district was, well, we want to be sure that we are providing clubs that are responsive to the student's interests. And that certainly is a factor that is appropriate for the district to take into consideration, but in the context of these desegregation cases, that approach can sometimes be a slippery slope.

You know, when we started our conversation in this case years ago when I first became involved, one of the comments about the disparities in AP courses was, well, the students in certain schools aren't asking for AP courses and so we're not providing them because there's no interest. If students don't know what opportunities are available to them, if students aren't told, hey, you might enjoy this type of club or that type of club, they aren't going to ask, because they simply don't have the experience and the information that would cause them to ask.

There was a comment about being responsive to what people want in discipline in terms of training. There was a comment along those lines I believe also with respect to the extracurricular activities, and I just think that's something

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

everyone should be sensitive to. Mr. Pape, what's next, please? MR. PAPE: Yes, Your Honor. As I was going back through my notes, not to belabor extracurriculars, I would be remiss -- I think I left off an important point. district -- the earlier conversation about extracurricular, the point is well taken from the Court. I do recall the incident the Court is talking about, about AP courses and how that can be a potential for problems. I did neglect to identify -- and I know the parties looked over -- the high schools, I think, are a better representation where the district has had success in meeting the needs but without having the number of club opportunity problems that we see at the lower grade levels, and I should have emphasized that a little bit more. I'm really talking about the lower grade levels and tracking that information, whereas the higher grade levels -- and I think the DAC acknowledged that you can look and see a school like Jemison offering 56 clubs compared to Huntsville High School identifying 51 clubs. Years ago that would have been unheard of. So I do think we have a model that could work and is working with our older students. It's just about trying to see if we can get that with the younger students. I wanted to

The next Green factor we have identified was faculty

make sure that I identified that, that we do acknowledge that.

and staff. And for this factor, a couple of points we wanted to address generally about the — it's related more to the DAC report, but then also we know that in some of our earlier conversations that the parties themselves have also raised concerns about teacher retention, so we're going to put that in this discussion as well for faculty and staff.

But taking faculty and staff at a high level, I think the district agrees with the members of the DAC and I just know anecdotally — and I don't want to speak for the DAC members because they are not present, but I do know at least anecdotally that Mr. Gregory has spoken at least to one of our board members and even expressed some — he is the current DAC chair — expressed some interest in knowing where the district was in attempting to pursue unitary status in faculty and staff.

The district has publicly — I have spoken publicly with the board and we did identify faculty and staff as a factor. We are currently working on a draft motion that we plan to share with the United States in the coming weeks. I just wanted to let the Court know that that has been a focus of ours, was putting that motion together in a similar fashion, if at all possible, to how we presented the transportation information.

Obviously, that's merely for update purposes. The district feels like given its Singleton ratio, its principals

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

please?

and the other aspects of that factor, the district does feel like it is in a strong position and has met its requirement. Obviously, we will see where we go from there in working with the United States, but I would be remiss not to mention that there has been some discussion about that, and I believe the DAC members and Mr. Gregory was aware of that. I think he has been tracking the board meetings and purely anecdotally appeared to have a positive conversation with one of our board members about our consideration of that. That doesn't necessarily mean anything. I just wanted to put that on the Court's radar that there is a dialogue about that. That said, there was some good points and some concerns raised by the United States and Mr. Debro in some of our conversations related to teacher retention, and I wanted to speak briefly about some of the steps that the district is taking related to teacher retention. I think some of these steps would likely -- in a motion as we're preparing it would likely -- it would appear in kind of a future good faith section of a motion, but just to preliminarily discuss them for today's purposes. An interesting statistic was that starting '17-'18, that school year, and Ms. Finley actually took over the superintendent, interim superintendent, and --

THE COURT: Can I interrupt you for one minute,

Sorry about that, Mr. Pape. Go ahead.

MR. PAPE: Oh, no problem. The superintendent actually took over being interim in '18, so the first statistic was right before she started as interim before becoming superintendent, but the retention rate that we report as part of our now strategic plan where we look at retention of teachers was 79 percent, 79 percent retention rate. That number improved to 85 percent the next year, and then 89 percent. So almost 90 percent retention rate for the '19-'20 school year. Then unfortunately we did see a dip down to '20-'21 where the rate went back down to 81 percent.

So we were seeing momentum, roughly 79 to 89 percent over a couple of years, and then we did lose some momentum after that year of Covid, full year of Covid instruction. And so we think on our end, the superintendent's team has identified why they think that are having that success, and one of the main reasons was the previous administration had made more common use of nonrenewals for teachers in their — more often than not their first year if they were not appearing to be a strong teacher.

The district under Ms. Finley has implemented coaching plans for the teachers that likely in the past, maybe under a different leadership, would have been nonrenewed. And so what we've seen, though, is that that investment in coaching, the superintendent's team, they think they have seen

that investment pay off in a higher retention rate and fewer teachers either being nonrenewed or leaving.

That investment from the district and the plans put in place by the principals, that's now a requirement. If the teacher is going to be nonrenewed before they are able to earn tenure, the superintendent expects to see where that teacher has been coached, where they have had their issues identified, and that the principals have tried to be instructional leaders in that school and help them. So that, we think, was a very important retention piece leading up to Covid.

That game has changed a little bit, and I don't like to keep coming back to Covid as a game changer, but I think it's important to acknowledge that that did lead to a lot of teachers making retirement decisions and resignation decisions likely due to health reasons and safety concerns as well as stress reasons. We know that that's impacting education as it is impacting most every field, I think, in this country.

But that said, there are some things that I think bear mentioning related to teacher retention. One of them is a relatively new development. There's a company in Huntsville named RippleWorx that has worked previously with the Huntsville Police Department, Huntsville Hospital, at least locally, and they have some other clients as well, and what they focus on is — and this is going to be my sort of inartful explanation, but almost like a daily pulse of morale

from your team, your employees. This is focused on employees, not students. And they have different systems and procedures that allow sort of daily and weekly check-ins about what are the issues that are causing morale concerns. And they specialize in front line workers. Like I said, they work with police departments, hospital workers.

So the superintendent has actually worked to build a collaboration with this company to apply those same processes to front line education workers. It's impossible to say that the education team is not a front line worker.

This is a very new, like, last couple of weeks, entered into an agreement with RippleWorx to start this semester, and that's a big — that's something the team is very excited about trying to find more ways to hear from staff about what are the true things that are causing them that daily stress that may be simple fixes or just touch points could help the district especially as we get into that April, May, June, July, which is where you see a lot of the retention issues manifest. You don't see it necessarily as much mid year. I mean, we have seen it, but not like you do at the end of the year.

There are a couple of other things that I think the district has implemented this year that are worth noting to the Court. During the fall, the district tried to find a way to provide both additional investment in all of its faculty

and staff, both financially and professionally, and they developed a program of Professional Learning Academy, PLA, is a system that the district has used to offer additional career development that we could tie and have tied to things like positive school climate, culturally responsive teaching, implementation of PBIS and using data for your certified and for classified — there have been different topics that are more appropriate for your nonteaching staff.

But by completing these, you get high quality professional development plus a significant two to three thousand dollar or fifteen hundred to three thousand dollar range, depending on the different category, extra compensation for your time. So trying to do things that other districts were not doing to try to encourage folks to stick it out and stay with the district despite this difficult time, and I think that that has been a fairly universally popular process, has been successful. Of course, like I said earlier, we hope to see that success pay off in April, May, June, when teachers are making that determination about what district they are going to teach at next year. But that investment, we think is important.

Then there's also just simple things that don't necessarily cost a lot of extra money, but the district has tried really hard to emphasize and celebrate its teachers of the year, any of its teachers that become nationally board

certified. The district has a huge partnership with an entity in Huntsville that is helping to fund national board certification so that those teachers get that opportunity without having to invest their own money in that process. And just simple things like celebrating those individuals for their accomplishments. And also in the case of the teachers of the year, those individuals have actually been added to the superintendent's — one of the superintendent's advisory committees so that their voices can be heard as leaders in their school directly with the superintendent. I believe she met with them recently.

So just things like that, the district has really been trying to invest. Teacher retention has just been a core component, part of the strategic plan, part of the goals for the superintendent. And so notwithstanding the district's excitement and efforts of trying to prove that it is ready to go to unitary status hopefully to the Court, the superintendent acknowledges that that doesn't — that's not the end of the struggle by any stretch, and ensuring that we have high quality teachers at school and making sure that they feel valued.

So I think that those long-term plans and retention are an important component. But I'm also, again, happy to answer any other questions or followups after that.

THE COURT: Thank you, Mr. Pape.

Ms. Hamilton?

MS. HAMILTON: I will give my colleague, Kelly Gardner an opportunity to respond.

MS GARDNER: So, Your Honor, in response to some of the issues that were raised by the DAC, I will just note at the outset that each year the United States takes the very comprehensive information we get from the district related to faculty and staff and we conduct our own independent analysis of that.

We agree in large measure with the number of the things that the DAC pointed out in the area of faculty and staff, including that the district has made fairly substantial progress on increasing the number of black principals that exist throughout the district. One of the conversations that we had with the district early on related to the imbalance in the racial composition of principals within the district when you look at that side by side with the racial composition of assistant principals. We think we had some concerns about whether efforts were being made and assistant principals were being supported sufficiently so that they could continue developing and advancing to the position of principal, and I think what we're seeing now is that there has, in fact, been an increase in the percentage of black principals in the district.

I know that the DAC pointed out that there has been

a slight decrease in the percentage of black assistant principals in the district, and I think one of the things we're looking at is whether that's the beginning of some trend or is that the result of assistant principals being elevated and kind of progressing and moving out of that category.

On the issue of incentive pay, it sounds like the district has decided to discontinue that. I know that incentive pay has been something that we have obtained data on and that our statistician looks at each year. Our statistician tends to look at incentive pay from the standpoint of examining whether there are any statistically significant differences in the pay awarded to a teacher based on whether that teacher is assigned to a predominantly black or predominantly white high school, and there has not been in recent years any sort of statistically significant association in that respect.

Ordinarily if the district were to continue awarding incentive pay, we certainly would look at some of the things the DAC raised. If the district is not going to be awarding any incentive pay, there won't be anything in that respect for us to look at, but I know that that has historically been a part of our analysis.

The other area that the DAC raised was the issue of exigent circumstances, and I think there have been some years where there have been at this point a small number of

2.5

interviews that teachers may have missed because of some unanticipated circumstance. I think in at least one of the years the DAC addressed, there were nine such interviews missed.

This is an area that we also had quite a bit of conversation about in the early years of the consent order. The number of exigent circumstances used to extend into the hundreds, and so at this point while we acknowledge that there are a handful of interviews that were missed, that is, I think, a relatively — has been a relatively small percent of the total number of interviews that have been conducted. I think under two percent. It sounds like there may be some change in the district where teachers are not currently serving on interview committees. So I think we certainly will look forward to hearing more about any change in that respect. But I did just want to note that in general, while there may be some small number of exigent circumstances, it is significantly different than where we were when we started this process.

There are two areas that are also addressed by the DAC's report, the Singleton ratios and I think the DAC had some comments about the complexity of the applicant data that the district provides. We just note that -- I think it's admirable that the DAC sort of has endeavored to take a look at every single area. Certainly there isn't necessarily an

expectation on the part of the United States that the DAC engage in statistical analysis of applicant data. That data is incredibly voluminous. There are duplicate entries, and so it really takes someone who is fairly experienced with statistics and with Microsoft Excel to work with that data.

We do have a statistician on our staff who looks at that data every year and breaks it down for us and tells us about what the statistically significant findings are, whether there are associations between the race of an applicant and the likelihood of their being hired. So that's something that the United States has tracked over time and continues to track as we receive data from the district. So I just wanted to note that while the DAC may not be able to do that, that is an analysis that the United States undertakes every year.

I will allow Ms. Hamilton to add anything she wants to your attention. I will just say that we appreciate hearing from the district the initiatives that they are thinking about in terms of working through this issue. It certainly is something that we are seeing in many places given some of the challenges that have been intensified in recent years, and we look forward to working cooperatively with the district as they sort of continue to think through and implement new measures to try to stabilize and improve teacher retention.

I will just note that we understand that the stability of the teaching force is an important part of the

2

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

12

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

24

25

district's work and it has consequences for many other Green factors, including when it comes to academic programming and students being able to access that when it comes to teachers understanding and being trained and retaining knowledge in terms of discipline and positive school climate. So certainly we are interested in helping the district ensure that its 7 teaching force remains stable. Thank you, Ms. Gardner. THE COURT: Ms. Hamilton, is there anything you would like to add? MS. HAMILTON: I don't have anything to add, Your 11 Honor. 13 THE COURT: All right. Mr. Debro? MR. DEBRO: Thank you, Your Honor. In reviewing the reports, especially the one from the DAC, I have been noticing some things as well as matters we've keeping up when it relates to news reports as well as communications with the community. There has been a morale issue and there has been an exodus of some minority employees of the district. Some of it may be related to retirement. Some just may be related to disgruntled employees. 22 I know I've had various matters that I have been able to work with Mr. Pape on on various administrators and 23 other positions on matters outside of this action here, and it seems as though that those have exited from the district going

into other areas, whether they are minorities and are serving in an administrative position, the district has replaced them with other minorities in those positions. It's great to know that the Department of Justice, United States, has information where they can track data when it comes down to candidates for hire because it would be helpful at some point in time to be able to look at how that information has come about.

I know I have received information over time as relates to employees applying for certain positions that have been minorities and they have not — they felt as though they have not been given the opportunity to go ahead and move into those positions. It would be helpful to have that information to be able to compare that data with this information to make sure that these are just not isolated incidents that these particular people are going through.

I did notice that the -- still monitoring the Singleton ratio as pointed out by the DAC when it comes down to demographics of students as well as the teachers. It appears that we currently are probably still at a flat area when it comes down to that ratio compared to years past, but we will continue to monitor that as well as the information locally to see what we can do in comparison, but it would be helpful to know the United States' information, what it has when it comes down to data in comparison to the candidates for hire as well as those that are leaving the system.

THE COURT: Thank you, Mr. Debro.

Mr. Pape, if you would, help us understand just briefly. I noted in the superintendent's response what Ms. Gardner mentioned and that is that teachers no longer are part of the interview process. So help us understand who is part of the interview committee and how the process is working currently, please.

MR. PAPE: Yes, Your Honor. I believe I know the answer to that. If I don't, if I get the answer incorrectly I'm happy to turn it over to Ms. Finley.

I believe that part of the impetus of moving away from having as many teachers participate on that committee was in an effort to lessen some of the load on our teachers, especially in light of the -- you know, the 2020-2021 school year was exceptionally challenging and having to balance the virtual students and along with the in-person students and just everything in between.

So the whole point of — going back to the earlier days of the consent order, I believe the original idea was this gave teachers who had an interest in earning some leadership ability or just see what it's like to be on the administrative side a chance to do so, and that is still an important role and an important aspect of the superintendent's plan.

I did forget to include one thing on my retention

list, and there's another -- it's called the ILA, which I don't want you to get confused with the PLA, Instructional Leader Academy, internal to -- Leadership Academy, internal to the district's teachers. It's not like an external academy. And I hesitate to even make this comparison, because it doesn't come with the same -- it's not like an assistant principal opportunity. There's not -- you're not placed in a school or something like that. It's an actual academy for those teachers who are interested in learning about what it means to be an administrator and they can participate in this program.

So while there's not the same opportunity to participate in some of the interviews, there is this new opportunity. Who is still participating in the interviews are your district level administrators, and largely the reason why the district has been able to minimize a lot of those exigent circumstances at times when the district fell out of the demographic makeup, that would approximate the district's demographic makeup, is that we have a team led by the talent management department who works with other district administrators to make sure that we have a diverse pool of individuals from our district's leadership to be able to participate.

So there is still a diverse group of individuals handling those interviews, but to my knowledge that was the

main reason for lessening the load on some of our teachers and let them focus more on that aspect.

I don't know, Ms. Finley, if you have anything else that you want to add.

SUPERINTENDENT FINLEY: I don't.

MR. PAPE: That is the answer to that question.

THE COURT: So if the interview committee is composed of district level administrators, is the district making sure that the black members of the committee are sufficiently high in leadership that they feel comfortable sharing their thoughts and giving their input in the interview process? Because I can see a situation where, you know, depending on who you select to be on the committee, the person might qualify as an administrator but may not feel comfortable fully participating in the interview process. So is the district keeping an eye on that?

MR. PAPE: Your Honor, I would say they are. The district is fortunate in that unlike some of our other matters that our firm works with in other school districts that have active desegregation matters that don't necessarily have the diversity and teachers and leadership that Huntsville has, notwithstanding the challenges and ongoing efforts that the district has taken, the district is fortunate to have diversity in its leadership from the deputy superintendent down to coordinators that do also qualify, to chiefs that are

```
kind of a step below our deputies like Dr. Smith and others
 1
    that are on that tier of leadership.
 2
 3
              So I believe that the district would -- its position
 4
    would be that we do have a diversity of leadership both in the
 5
    tiers of administrator and within those tiers themselves
 6
    diversity so that the individuals should be able to
 7
    participate and have some confidence.
              I would not want to speak for all individuals.
 8
    There may be some intimidation if they are sitting next to a
 9
10
    chief or deputy and they themself may be a coordinator, I
    wouldn't want to say universally that that never occurs, but I
11
    do think given the diversity at the central office, there is
12
    opportunity for there to be a diverse group, skills and racial
13
14
    demographics in those panels.
15
              THE COURT:
                          Thank you. What is the next Green
16
    factor?
              MR. PAPE: Your Honor, I think at this point the
17
    last two or three items that we have identified could likely
18
    be lengthier discussions, but the first one would be equitable
19
20
    access or student achievement.
              MR. DEBRO: Your Honor, I know this is out of turn,
21
22
    but I wanted to have a followup with the district, if at all
    possible, on the last area that we were just on.
23
              THE COURT: Okay.
24
              MR. DEBRO: If they would just explain a little bit
25
```

about the application process. Because it's not necessarily interviews; it's the way the application goes through and maybe persons are not getting interviews where they make it to that level where the district is able to go ahead and move to what they were just speaking of.

So I just wanted to be able to educate the Court about the application process, because that is different as opposed to the interview.

THE COURT: All right.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

In lieu of attempting to explain the MR. PAPE: whole application process, I do know that teachers apply through the online portal and postings that the district have places through. I believe it's called Teaching Alabama. It's an online portal. It's part of the reason why -- going back to an earlier issue that was raised. Part of the reason why that applicant data is so cumbersome, and I do actually share and understand the DAC's frustration with that data. It is difficult. There are items that are duplicates. There are items that appear to be duplicates but are, in fact, not duplicates because they are for a different school that has the same job title that someone would apply for, and so it is frustrating, and I think there's not a great way to necessarily capture that info in a way that also complies with the Court's order.

But that said, Dr. Smith has reached out to the DAC

now that they have the reports and offered to sit down with them. We share the United States' concern that we don't have the expectation that they become expert statisticians and work on every single report and try to discern what is statistically significant. That said, Dr. Smith does try to do that, and he does help the district with those type of matters. So we're going to make him available and other members of the team if they do have data questions.

But to the actual process, I know Dr. Smith has actually been working on that process with us and as part of our work in determining our readiness for unitary status, and I think Ms. Finley can also speak a little more to that. So if either of you all would like to speak to that, I'm happy to let you speak to the Court instead.

Dr. Smith, would you like to go since you have been looking at it recently?

DR. SMITH: Yes, sir, absolutely. Good afternoon.

I will backtrack for a second and just speak to the question about the interview committees and kind of the dynamics on those.

We are fortunate to be a large enough district where we do have a good number of district level coordinators and curriculum specialists. So when we're talking interviews that the teachers are undergoing, typically you're not going to have somebody like a deputy superintendent sitting on that

interview committee. Typically it's going to be individuals 1 who are curriculum specialists who are those coordinators who 2 3 have -- you know, not implying that there's necessarily a power dynamic between us, but they would be more on kind of a 4 5 level playing field if someone looked at the outside structure 6 of the organization. And what they do is there's just a pool, 7 kind of, of those individuals and they just rotate different days of when those screening committees are going to happen. 8 So, for instance, you know, the superintendent is 9 not going to be sitting on a screening committee of a 10 first-year teacher with, say, our curriculum specialist, 11 things like that. Hopefully that clarifies the question about 12 what those committees look like now that we don't have those 13 14 teachers involved in that process. 15 I apologize. What was the second question? THE COURT: What is the application process for 16 teachers, please? 17 DR. SMITH: Okay. I can speak -- I will share the 18 DAC's comments about that it can be difficult to look at. As 19 20 a matter of fact, I'm looking at a lot of it right now over the past four years as we prep that information. As far as 21 22 the candidates go -- I don't want to misspeak on that one. I'm not as familiar as what happens up to the screening 23 process, but as Mr. Pape said, I know that they apply. I know 24 25 once they are screened, everyone is given that opportunity for

the application and then -- or, excuse me, given the opportunity for the interview. The interview committee gets together and they have a scoring that they do, and then based on that score, if they are in a certain range, they are sent to a school where there might be an opening.

I think Mr. Debro is asking about the piece between applying kind of on an online system to the screening. Is that correct, sir? I see a nod. I don't want to misspeak on that. Let me see if I can --

MR. DEBRO: That's correct, as well as the principals and assistant principals, because that was one of the other issues that had come up.

DR. SMITH: Okay. On the teacher side, let me see if I can do some digging and a get little bit more about that step that happens between the application and kind of where they actually get screened and moved to the interview of teachers. I will make a note of that.

On the principal and assistant principal side of things, when those postings come out, essentially what — we try to give preference to our local talent. So if you're an HCS individual, I know that you are going to get screened. They're going to go through and look at kind of the requirements and things like that. And then that happens, I think, for the majority of the external candidates as well. Based on that, if they meet those requirements, then they kind

of go into a pool, and when openings come up, we go and we look at that pool and they pull up individuals.

You might have a case where someone would interview, say, a year ago, even maybe beyond that, two years ago, and there wasn't an opening at that time, but they might be called back in if that interview was still active. If they are still interested in the position and asked, hey, okay, I know we interviewed you sometime in the past but we have this opening and we think it would be a good fit; are you still interested.

So the applicant pool for APs and principals is a little bit more floating than I think it is for teachers. There's also — just keep in mind, there's not a ton of those hiring that happens year to year, but I will say that's actually the last piece of the data that I'm looking at is our AP and our principal and some of the same concerns of the DAC. I'm just trying to weave through and figure out exactly how best to make sense of that data. I'm happy to share, once that's completed, any information I have about that and I will look into the other piece about the screening process.

MR. PAPE: Your Honor, if I may. It may be helpful -- because I know that Ms. Finley works more -- to Dr. Smith's point, and he is correct, I did blend those. The superintendent's team, some of the deputies tend to work more on the principal selection and interview process. If the Court would like to hear more about that, it may be

appropriate if Ms. Finley could add a little commentary, assuming you would like to have a little more discussion about this.

THE COURT: That's fine. If Ms. Finley, if you want to add anything to the information that is before us, please go ahead.

SUPERINTENDENT FINLEY: Good afternoon, Your Honor, Thank you for allowing me to join in today. First, I would like to say the talent management has done an excellent job, and I would also like to thank the DAC for the work they do. I've said this many times in my previous roles. I thoroughly enjoyed working as liaison with them, and I actually miss that, but I have some ideas at the end, and maybe we can circle back, and I know you mentioned that, Your Honor.

But in regards to assistant principals and principals, I'm committed to rebuilding the bench from within. And as you mentioned earlier, you have seen that we have hired more black principals. We actually used those assistant principals as a model to build the principal pool. But as it relates to the screening process, so based on that job description, the talent management team looks to see if those applicants meet the qualifications; so do they have their administrative degree, do they have years of experience as a classroom teacher, and then they go through that screener and then go through actually about three layers, I believe is what

we have now for assistant principal.

The initial interview team is composed of district staff, and then the next level includes our deputy superintendents that then submit names to me, and then actually the three of us, the two deputy superintendents, Dr. Scott and Dr. Sullivan and myself, sit in and interview those final candidates for principals. And what we're seeing now, I guess you would say it's a good problem to have is because we have elevated a lot of our assistant principals, so we have some openings for assistant principals, and that's what we see right now, is the beauty of the Instructional Leadership Academy to really start growing that pool of APs so we can build a bench from within.

All that to say is that we want to build in those layers especially for our APs and principals to make sure that not only there's layers to that but also that we are looking at the capacity to fill in schools to make sure we are putting the right people in place as we grow the capacity of our instructional leaders.

THE COURT: Thank you. All right. Mr. Pape, the topics that we have left to discuss are academic access and outcomes to a certain extent, facilities, and discipline. Are those the three you were thinking of?

MR. PAPE: Yes, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Let's talk about facilities, first,

please.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. PAPE: Your Honor, I mentioned this earlier. Really, the bulk of our facilities discussion is Highlands Elementary. I think we did have a discussion about modular buildings that I know the United States touched on briefly during student assignment having to do with our growth. At this point those have been placed but they are not ready. I don't have a very long update to provide related to that, just they have not -- supply chain has hindered our ability to use those, so the schools are having to get creative with their placement of classes throughout the building, cafeteria, auditorium, wherever they can place students. It has not been ideal, but the facilities team is not for lack of trying. It's just like everyone, we are subject to the materials we are able to receive right now. So I do expect that the modular buildings will continue to be used for a short term. That is not part of the superintendent's long-term plans. That said, the earlier discussion about Mr. Craig joining the team will likely drive a lot more of the discussion related to facilities longer term.

I think the DAC also identified maintenance concerns. There was a delay in getting some of that information to the DAC. Some of that was, frankly, driven by the fact that there's not — the program that the district uses is not — it does have a user interface that allows it to

be easy exported to an understandable report, and then intervening between that was also just Covid began, and I think this request took a longer to get resolved than we typically did with our information request for the DAC. So that was something that we tried to work on, and it was an early priority project for Dr. Smith. He has worked on getting that information and also providing it in a way that is more usable so that we can have a similar situation like we do, like, with the candidate report. We wanted to provide information that was usable.

So he has provided some summary information to try to help them understand that maintenance. It's broken down by different craft depending on if it's electrical or lock—and—key or maintenance or plumbing, et cetera, and he tried to break those down by different craft and provide some information to try to alleviate some of the concerns the DAC had about delays in certain things being done at different schools.

I don't believe he has had a chance to sit down with the DAC yet. I think they have gotten that information, but knowing that they are volunteers and parents, I don't know how much they have gotten to dig into that yet. I believe they received that sometime mid to late fall. But I did want the Court to know that we made that a priority that they received the information they wanted.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

The rest of our planned discussion is about Highlands. I mentioned this earlier. I will reiterate it The Court's point is well taken on the tone of the I know we talked about that from this fall. And it may have been overly formal and did not -- it did not, in itself, I think, accurately portray the fact that the human beings -- the superintendent, the board members, the individuals like Dr. Smith and the district who have met with the community, I think did do in-person and in their conversations, their letters, their meetings, have tried to really recognize that at the end of the day, there's almost nothing that we're going to put down on paper or say that's going to make up for the disruption they felt and the frustration they felt, and we've tried to acknowledge that. I say "we." I believe the district has tried to acknowledge that as often as they can in those in-person meetings starting with the one in early August or late July when we had to make the announcement up through as recently as last week when the superintendent, Dr. Smith, and others met with the PTA to check in and let them know how things were going with the renovation, and also to share some exciting updates that I want to share with the Court as well that did

But actually as of last night, the board voted on some additional renovations to do for the Highlands Elementary

not -- they weren't ready in time to share in this report.

school that were more cosmetic and kind of bringing it — making it more modern, things like flooring and repainting and adding some ceiling that more fit with our modern aesthetic for schools as opposed to the '50s, '60s aesthetic that some of our schools have. And the PTA was made aware of this, was excited. The school leadership as well. I believe Dr. Smith has also tried to keep the DAC in the loop about that process.

The superintendent and the facilities team are going to work with the PTA and the school leadership to get their feedback on things like color and where we can on the things related to that, just so that there's some involvement, and students will be also be involved, just making sure that they have some say in their school and the way it will — we are excited to say when they come back in August of next year.

In many ways, what should have been a good news story of a new roof, a new HVAC, which would largely alleviate a lot of maintenance issues at that school, it's unfortunate that some of the mistakes made by the district led to some of these issues, but the long-term is going to be that when those students get to return in August, they will have — the inside of their school will feel refreshed and then the actual maintenance components, which may not make the students — you know, you can't see a new roof, a new HVAC every day. It doesn't have that same effect. I mean, I'm happy, from a representation of the district's standpoint, that that

happened, because those maintenance issues and the lack thereof, rather, that they will have with this new roof and HVAC will be huge, but for them to see new paint and all the other aesthetic materials I think will be very exciting.

So the district has tried to stay in constant contact, regularly supporting. They are going to finish the year at Cavalry Hill, which will allow the district to be able to complete those renovation projects by the start of the school year, using that time wisely while they are already at the other location, and continuing to try to support them at that location so that they can move back for the next school year.

And I think that's the bulk of the update that's not otherwise contained in the district's response related to Highlands. And, again, I'm happy to answer any questions or address any other issues.

THE COURT: All right. Thank you.

Ms. Hamilton, are you handling facilities?

MS. HAMILTON: Yes, Your Honor. Starting with Highlands, the district continues to keep us updated regarding the latest developments at Highlands. We have already shared with the Court our concerns about the miscommunication and other issues that led to students at Highlands having to attend school at Cavalry Hill School. We do appreciate the district's steps that have been taken by the district

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

throughout the school year to ensure that the Cavalry Hill facility is appropriate for students to receive educational services as well as the steps that they continue to take to ensure that when students do return back to the Highlands facility, that it will be both inhabitable and also there will be those additional renovations to really make it a welcoming environment for students.

The district did inform us of their plans to move forward with the additional renovations at Highlands this semester, to which we have no objection. It's our understanding that in addition to those renovations, the district also plans to finish replacing the HVAC system and also addressing some of the issues related to the asbestos concerns. As the Court is aware, there were concerns raised by the community and also that have been brought to the Court's attention by the parties with regard to asbestos that was in the internal part of the building that was not accessible to students but still raised questions and concerns, and I'm sure Mr. Pape could speak much more eloquently about the details of how all how that works, but it is our understanding that the district is planning to remove any remaining asbestos that's in the inside or internal parts of the building before students will return to the facility in the fall, and it's also our understanding that all the remaining renovations and repairs and cosmetic changes will

also be completed before the start of next school year.

One of the other ongoing questions that we have had for the district in connection with this issue is its communication with the Highlands School community, and it is our understanding that the district has taken steps to communicate with school administration and PTA and also other members of the community to inform them of the renovation plans and keep them posted also on other developments related to the school. It's also our understanding that the district plans to seek input from members of the school community about some cosmetic changes that are in progress as well. And most recently the district shared a copy of a letter that was sent to the school community just this past week regarding some of those recent developments and plans.

So we appreciate the district keeping us posted about the communications, and we continue to encourage the district to keep those lines of communication open, especially given just the range of emotions and frustration that has arisen over the course of this year in connection with these issues.

Quickly, just to circle back to the modular units issue, as the district noted, those units have not currently been utilized. The district is still grappling with how to deal with the over-capacity issues and concrete ways to address it. One thing that we would remind the district and

it's our understanding that this is on their radar is that to the extent that they do use modular units, that they need to ensure that they are not being used in a discriminatory manner.

One of the concerns that we had in the past has been to the extent they have been used, there has been concern that there have been classes that are the majority black or couldn't otherwise — or I should say the district couldn't otherwise use those units and that the students attending classes in those facilities are disproportionately black, and we want to ensure that that's not the case. The district has assured us that to the extent that they would be put in place, that that would not happen, but we do want to ensure that that is on the Court's radar as something we would monitor.

And then the last thing with regard to the other school facilities and work orders, we continue to ask the school district to keep us updated as to any issues that arise, particularly if they see in the review of work orders and complaints that they are receiving throughout the district if there are disproportionate issues with buildings in north Huntsville as compared to issues in south Huntsville.

THE COURT: All right. Thank you.

Mr. Debro, anything for you?

MR. DEBRO: Thank you, Your Honor. I think
Ms. Hamilton covered everything when it comes down to the

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

modular buildings, and Mr. Pape has given us a good assessment of matters related to Highlands, and I appreciate the update. I think the district has gone through some lessons learned, and we know how to move forward. So we will just keep monitoring the update and hope things are successful for a new year for Highlands in the fall. So nothing further at this time.

THE COURT: Very good. All right. Mr. Pape, how about if we look at discipline next, please.

MR. PAPE: Yes, Your Honor. Student discipline continues to be, from a data standpoint, a challenge for the district. I think if I let the superintendent and Dr. Smith speak candidly, they might even call it a frustration just in terms of seeing movement in this factor. I think the district tried to acknowledge that they do understand and agree that the DAC -- there's almost no way to slice the district's data that doesn't show a disparity on the basis of race, so I'm not going to attempt to do that. But what I will say, instead, is that the district's focus has been on attempting to -especially coming into this school year, the district was able to report lower number of disciplinary incidents in the '20-'21 school year, but we knew and tried to be as transparent as possible that we knew that was not a -- that was an artificial statistic driven by the Covid school year and the lack of students in the building.

In fact, the district has spent some time discussing internally and trying to think through what support it could do at a district level prior to the start of the '21-'22 school year. The district was aware of some of the concerns and literature speaking to this about students returning to school after over a year of not having socialization that you would have expected students to have, and if you think about those poor kindergartners who started in '19-'20, they got most of a normal year, but then part of the -- the second part of that year has not been normal. It has been disrupted. And that certainly contributes to the complexity of this issue for the district. It's certainly not the cause of, as we have been wrestling with these issues for many years.

That said, the district has tried to take steps to bolster its team's focus on how to handle discipline in schools and how to handle behavior and support teachers.

I have talked about the PLA and the teacher retention component. It's not a surprise and it shouldn't come as a surprise and it was planned by the district that the focus of many of those PLA aspects was related to either building cultural competency in its teachers or in helping them with managing their classroom or managing data around PBIS. That was deliberate decisions by the district to make those points of emphasis.

Also, Dr. Smith, serving in a new role -- he has

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

been with the district for some time but he is new in his role as chief student equity officer -- identified that as an important area, that we sort of split equity duties related to students and adults over several roles, and Dr. Smith has been tasked with keeping an eye and serving as sort of an extra set of oversight in many ways joining Dr. McNeal, who has been recently involved as our liaison for the consent order. sort of serves functionally as a coliaison in many ways with the consent order. He is joined by Ms. Melissa Lindsey, who was, until recently, a fairly seasoned principal in our school district, recently serving as principal of Williams Middle School. She takes over for Donna Clark, who retired last year and had been over behavioral learning. And her position has been expanded a little broader than what Ms. Clark's position was in an attempt to align our health and mental health and counseling services all under a single department, and she works closely -- along with behavioral learning, she works closely with Dr. Smith to implement training and to support schools and to do things like PBIS audits of our schools. So that has been the goal of their teamwork coming

So that has been the goal of their teamwork coming into this fall, and has not been able to, I think, fully hit their stride with implementation, and that's not through lack of their intent to get the work done. Like everyone at the central office, they are having to fill in at schools and serve to help with the Covid issues that we've had and with

the surges that we've had, but they have, nevertheless, been trying to juggle and wear many hats to try to support the district in this.

One of the things that Dr. Smith identified was needing to improve the focus and relationship of an outside discipline consultant and has recently entered into a contract with discipline consultant out of the University of Alabama, the district has, and his work with her, Dr. Sarah McDaniel, who has been helping us with our PBIS implementation in the past, but expanding her role more broadly has been part of Dr. Smith's planned work. I believe he is supposed to meet with her in the coming couple of weeks to set out what her role for the rest of this school year will be, and then we will look going to the next school year.

So the district is trying to expand its efforts and narrow its focus and help those teachers who are returning and with students who are also returning this year deal with some of the disciplinary challenges.

A preliminary review of the discipline data from last semester shows that our data is more on track to look like a '19-'20 or '18-'19 school year than it will be a '20-'21. In other words, we will get back to — the way we are currently going is similar in data. I think we are trending a little down in a couple of areas, but I don't want to overstate that. It appears to be trending in the same

direction.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

So that has been the mission that Dr. Smith and Ms. Lindsey and the others that are kind of helping shepherd these principals and schools through this. It has been a challenge. And I guess I would also echo -- I believe it was Ms. Gardner who identified that new teachers and teacher turnover doesn't end up having an impact. You know, even if we can keep the districts numbers fairly high, teacher turnover, even if it's five to 10 percent, if we can get it to that level again, that 10 percent or less range, that's still a decent chunk of teachers coming each year in to replace retired teachers, and those new teachers are typically, not always, but typically less able to handle disciplinary concerns and haven't, certainly, gone through the years of training and professional development that others in the district would have. So I do echo that as a potential issue. But I think those are the major initiatives that the district has implemented. I'm happy to try to answer any questions as best as possible.

THE COURT: All right. Thank you, Mr. Pape.

Who is speaking on this factor, please, for the United States?

MS. HAMILTON: I am, Your Honor. As we have seen over the last several years, the overall data does show that black students in the district continue to be disciplined

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

disproportionately to white students. This was a conclusion that the DAC reached as well in their '19-'20 and '18-'19 reports.

We do acknowledge that each year there's always some variation if you hone in on a specific disciplinary category or if you look at specific schools. Because the numbers are averages, there's always going to be some schools that are demonstrating much better outcomes in terms of how students are being disciplined. You also have students -- excuse me. You also have schools on the other extreme where these disproportionate rates are even more startling. One thing we did want to point out because one of the reports from the DAC was from the '19-'20 school year -- and this is a positive example -- was that Jones Valley's numbers in '19-'20 were better than any other year since the consent order was implemented. Most notably, their out-of-school suspension percentage -- actually, the percentage of black students who received one or more out-of-school suspensions had dropped (inaudible) percent, and that was compared to 15 percent in '18-'19 and 20 percent the prior year before that.

Similarly, Blossomwood in '19-'20, the number or percentage of black students who received in-school suspension and out-of-school suspension was also better than any other years since the consent order to the extent that out-of-school dropped to 6 percent and compared to 13 percent, which had

been a prior high, and in-school similarly had dropped.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Even in those circumstances, though, the risk ratios, that is, the percentage of black students who were more likely to receive discipline than white students, was still higher because while the numbers for black students had dropped, the number for white students had dropped even more.

I wanted to point out, for example, changes similarly. The district has shown over time that they have reduced the number of expulsions, which was a significant issue when we first started the case. With that said, there are many areas, including just the overall numbers, where the trends continue to show significant disproportionality in student discipline. One that we wanted to point out that we weren't sure was on the Court's radar was that in the '19-'20 school year, with regard to law enforcement referrals, black students were nearly 11 times as likely as white students to be subject to law enforcement referrals. And, again, if the Court was to examine even more closely some of the numbers, particularly at the middle school level and the high school level with regard to exclusionary consequences, there are some very concerning scenarios that the Court could see.

One of the things that we do recognize, as Mr. Pape was explaining, is that since the time the DAC wrote these reports, there have obviously been a lot of changes. The last report captured the end of the '19-'20 school year, and I

would note that it's worth putting on the Court's radar that even though students in Huntsville City Schools moved to virtual learning during the latter part of the '19-'20 school year, a lot of the discipline numbers actually increased during that same school year. Since there was less time in person, there should just be a natural decrease in those numbers, but that wasn't the case in '19-'20.

As Mr. Pape noted, though, in the '20-'21 school year, there did appear to be improvement, but we appreciate the district's candor that that is largely attributed to students reverting to virtual learning for significant parts of the school year. We also appreciate the superintendent's work and Mr. Pape's candor in acknowledging that the numbers will likely increase for the '20-'21 to '22 school year as well as the disparities that we have been seeing all along.

With regard to the reasons for why this is happening, we appreciate just the DAC's analysis and recommendations for just really relaying why we are continuing to see these disparities as we get further and further into implementing (inaudible). As Mr. Pape noted, certainly there are a lot of issues that have happened on account of the pandemic that can't be dismissed. Nationally we are seeing a lot of this in other school districts where students have been out of school for extended periods of times and that schools are seeing increased rate in discipline issues. The reason

for that range, from learning loss and disconnection between students who are struggling academically and that being manifested in their behavior at school. Also, other reasons that have often been cited by experts around the country pertain to lack of socialization that students have experienced, the lack of actual mental health support, taking into account a lot of the issues that students are encountering not just at school but also at home in the midst of the pandemic, lack of consistency, teacher turnover, as Mr. Pape noted and my colleague mentioned earlier, among other reasons.

With that said, we also acknowledge that even prior to the pandemic there were issues with disproportionate discipline in Huntsville, and we do appreciate the steps that the district has set forth that they are planning to take and are taking at the current school year. We remind the district that one of the things that's important about the initiative and any of the ongoing initiatives that are in place is the importance of implementing those initiatives. We are hopeful that with the addition of Dr. Smith in his role as the chief equity officer as well as putting initiatives in place that they really show how well these programs are being implemented district—wide, and we appreciate in particular the addition of Dr. Smith who can look at these issues from an equity lens and not just from the district implementing discipline according

to the BLG specifically.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

We also encourage the district to continue to seek outside resources, and we think it is a very positive development that the district has moved forward with hiring the discipline consultant who Mr. Pape mentioned a moment ago, Sarah McDaniel. We are very hopeful that she will be able to assist the district, and we are aware of some of her prior work with the district.

And the last thing that I would mention with regard to just the general issues related to disproportionality is that we encourage the district to continue to review its data at both the school level and the district level. The data and information that schools collect on these issues really is a critical lever that can be used to both identify if there are problems that are happening. Also, that information can be used to identify what are some of the root causes of those problems, is it connected to certain teachers, is it connected to certain disciplinary practices, is it connected to even certain times of the school day. There's a wealth of information that's available. So if schools are actually reviewing that information and the district is also monitoring what's happening in these schools, and requiring districts -requiring schools to develop appropriate plans and strategies to address these issues, we do think that there can be further movement on this particular area.

One last issue that we want to raise with the Court -- this wasn't mentioned in the DAC report, but we have been made aware of issues related to the administration of the dress code, which is part of the Behavioral Learning Guide, and just potential concerns that have been raised regarding the dress code being implemented in a discriminatory manner. We are aware that the district is currently administering a dress code survey in order to get feedback from students, parents, and the community about whether there are any concerns about the dress code on its face and also if there are concerns or feedback that people want to share about implementation. So we look forward to seeing the results of that survey and also working with the district to address any issues that the survey reveals.

THE COURT: Thank you, Ms. Hamilton. This may be something that you have visited with the district about in the past, but I believe the DAC in its report suggested to the district that the district look to other school districts that have had some success with addressing racial disparities in discipline, and I just wondered whether you have any examples that maybe you could share with Mr. Pape of districts that have been able — you know, part of it is just identifying, as you said, where are the places where discipline seems to become an issue and when does the discipline become disproportional. So I just didn't know if maybe because of

your work in a variety of districts you might be able to be a resource for Mr. Pape with some of that information.

MS. HAMILTON: Absolutely, Your Honor. And in many ways the district is implementing initiatives that have been shown to be best practices in other places around the country. PBIS is a framework that really is followed by the Department of Education and used in many schools across the country, and it's one framework that has been shown to have measurable results in many school districts. Similarly, the use of restorative justice and some of the other practices that the district is using have been shown to produce results and improve disproportionate discipline and disparities in other areas.

I do think with that said, every district is unique, and one of the issues mentioned earlier was ensuring that those types of programs of implementing fidelity but beyond that recognizing that there may be unique needs and issues in each district that require additional ways of addressing. But with that said, we are certainly happy to continue to work with the school district to point them toward other resources that are on our radar.

THE COURT: All right. Thank you.

Mr. Debro, do you want to speak to student discipline?

MR. DEBRO: Not at this time, Your Honor. You

covered my issue. My issue was finding a model school system to help guide us to make us trend in the right direction here in the district. So you covered that, so we can move on.

Thanks so much.

THE COURT: Thank you.

All right. Mr. Pape, I think that brings us to

equitable access to programming in the schools and to disparities in achievement.

MR. PAPE: Yes, Your Honor. This factor, actually -- while I would say there's frustration with discipline, I think the frustration here with the district is a little different, actually. And I will tell you what I mean by that.

A lot of the discussion about this factor — and I'm included in this, in terms of people I'm talking about who have done this — is that there has been a lot of focus on the AP and recruitment piece. It has been a big piece by the DAC. It has been a big focus of the legal team as we have gone to present to the Court, and obviously it's part of our consent decree that we focus on this recruitment of students into AP, and the district has done so. The district has made that a huge focus. I can't tell you how many quarterly meetings, biannual meetings, other meetings that we've been privy to, and many more that I'm sure the superintendent and her team have about this topic. And, in fact, I think we see in the

DAC's report that they identify some of the communication issues that may improve the outreach for AP in their '19-'20 report, and then in the '20-'21 report they reported that the district had, in fact, taken their advice, and it's true, and tried to do more targeted recruitment for our black students in high school. In fact, getting -- (interruption in audio feed) -- folks that work on statistics and data, identifying the students that were proficient but chose not to take an AP course, to try to reach out to them with kind of a warm invite to let them know that we think you have shown the ability to be able to do this and we want you to do this, to try to grow that pool of black participants.

Where we're really running into a wall is the number of students who fit in that category. There is a certain concern, a genuine concern, about placing students in courses when they haven't shown a proficiency to be successful in those courses, and how much harm that could do to a student in terms of building that trust, and then leading to some of those concerns that we see about welcoming students and making sure the environment in those classes is welcoming if the student is not set up and prepared for success; then in many ways we might over-recruit ourselves into some of the concerns that are raised.

So that leads with a bigger question mark for the district of where we are moving to get more students prepared

so that pipeline grows so that we are pushing the students in the high school, which when I speak to some different frustrations, that's where a lot of that will occur.

In the '18-'19 school year, the district began implementing a lot of initiatives, especially at the elementary level, related to -- programs called Collaborative Classroom, and there were some other programs that I believe Ms. Finley may have spoken to at the status conference during the -- if it wasn't the '18-'19, it was the '19-'20 school year speaking on the efforts of '18-'19. That was the year where in the fall of '19 the district saw movement in its report card grades. We saw schools have growth academically in north and south Huntsville. We saw more improvement than we have ever seen in terms of our elementary student performance. It showed in our score report card grades some of our proficiency.

By no means am I saying that they were done, by any stretch, but we started seeing momentum, like planned actions leading to momentum. And one of the key indicators that Dr. Smith used in his previous role, and I think he is still involved in this in supporting the superintendent in her initiatives, was looking at proficiency data of students at different points throughout the year to track how we thought that students would perform in their end-of-the-year benchmark exams. So at the beginning of the year, students take the

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

benchmark exam or they take an exam that had certain benchmarks for proficiency to see how that student would fare on material for that school year.

So let's say half of the material is taught in the fall. The students take an examination. If they score 50 percent at the beginning, then that will show that they hit the benchmark in the material for what you would expect the students learn halfway through the school year, tested at the beginning of the semester and at the end of the semester. And I'm sure there's a more artful explanation if we need to get into the details of how those are designed, and Dr. Smith and Superintendent Finley can explain. But the core take-away is in that '18-'19 school year, between -- beginning of the year and the middle of the year, we saw an increase of 5 percent and 3 percent in reading and math across our students. doesn't sound like huge numbers, but when you're talking about tracking consistent growth and seeing trends, we saw very positive trends that year, 5 percent and 3 percent, and it led to the proficiency results that we got at the end of the '18-'19 school year, which led to that report card grade.

So the district continues to implement those effects and those strategies and plans in the '19-'20 school year, and the growth that we saw at the middle of the year was a 7 percent, up from 5 percent, in reading and a 9 percent, up from 3 percent, in math. So we were tracking -- you know,

watching Dr. Smith kind of explain this to the group and how big of a statistical impact, how exciting that was to see, you know, you can see the spark of excitement that that's where we were headed. And at the time it sounded like it was a — maybe a mercy on districts who were dealing with the beginning of the pandemic, but in many ways the State's decision not to have the accountability metric at the end of the year was frustrating to the district because they had seen double the improvement in terms of where they were going from '18-'19 where we had this great success story and moved the district to a B, then we finished the year and didn't get to have that. And by March a lot of the standards are taught. So you have teachers who really made use of these programs, and we were excited to see what the students were going to do with these procedures.

Well, then Covid happens and it changes the instructional framework. And I will say, again, in my noneducator understanding of this, that one of the major components of the programs that were implemented had to do with some group work, social/emotional interactions between students, being there daily with one another and kind of working through those lessons and having really targeted and focused learning for the students, but they were there together. If you had asked me in '18-'19 if in-person instruction is a component of the success, I probably would

not have listed that in the status conference because that was a foregone conclusion, but it appears that the in-person made a major impact, because when you look at that same metric from fall to mid-year for the '20-'21 school year, in reading it was a minus 3. So not even a positive. It was backwards. And in math it was flat, basically zero. So for both, that's basically a 10 percentage point difference from the previous year.

I think there's probably a lot of reasons due to disruption and everything else we've talked about, but it definitely did not allow the district to implement the plan that they thought they were seeing success with in terms of building that capacity.

Now we are in '21-'22 and our numbers are sitting more at 3 percent increase in reading and 4 percent in math. Does that necessarily mean that we will also see the great year that we saw in '18-'19, I sure hope so. I hope that that's what we will see. I think that there's probably more to the story in terms of the disruption. Just today the superintendent actually did have to make the hard decision — this is different from Tuesday when we met on the telephone — to close schools next week. Well, not closed but go to remote learning next week for five days because the surge has hit our staff just so hard over the last two days that we had some supervision concerns.

And so I know — and I may stop here in a moment and let Ms. Finley finish the rest of this section, but I do know that while she and her term have been working so hard to adapt the success story that we started to see in '18-'19 and '19-'20 to '21-'22, there's probably still a bit more time before we're able to get back to more normalcy. I sure hope by this fall, I sure hope that we are more past Covid in eight months than we are now, but I do think that has been the biggest challenge for her team to grow that pipeline for those AP and honors kids. And secondary is how do we get back to the normalcy that we think were working two years ago.

So, Ms. Finley, if you want to add anything to that, if the Court will allow, I'm happy to let her --

THE COURT: Sure.

SUPERINTENDENT FINLEY: I'm a little emotional about this, because when you hear this story and you see the gains that we were making, its hurts to hear where we are. I'm sorry. We were on a positive trajectory. Making sure the students have normalcy in their lives. Our staff are front line, boots on the ground, and they are suffering too. There's things that probably the Court doesn't know regarding what our staff has had to endure with the antimaskers, and we're trying to do what's right by keeping the doors open, from our staff being ambushed after board meetings, to -- I mean, all the things you can imagine, that you've heard across

the country.

But at the end of the day, trying to make the right decisions for our students and our teachers. So I'm sorry I'm so emotional about this, because we're trying to get back to some consistency for our students, and when you hear the gains we made in one year and we were projecting more gains to be made the following year or just this past year to be an A, and you hear that teachers are leaving because they're frustrated.

And as a former teacher, I get it. I can't imagine what they have to go through every day. Students that have to go home for being quarantined, or last year having to do virtual at the same time with students face to face. But I have to say we couldn't have done it without them, and their extraordinary — I'm proud to be part of this team. So I'm sorry, Judge, that I get emotional about it, but they work very hard.

With that said, I think — and I believe it was

Ms. Hamilton that pointed it out. You know, we have been very
candid about students coming back, and what we're seeing is
that not only — you hear about learning loss, and I'm not
making excuses, but here is the thing. This is where we are.
But the discipline issues, I believe, is a result of the lack
of social and emotional interactions, collaboration, they now
manifest themselves in behavior issues. That's what we know
happens with children. And then couple that with it's hard to

get to the academic piece and instruction piece if you are also addressing behavior issues.

I will say that the extra money that we have been provided has allowed us and afforded us to do some additional things, such as providing before and after school tutoring for students, and for those students that can't get to that tutoring, we offer it in the middle of the day, what we call focused learning time, and that's for all grades, and it's very standards driven.

So the assessments that Mr. Pape was referring to is very entrenched in how the students are in groups. So it's very standard based in terms of the learning that they receive during the day.

We are looking at ACT mock testing, so we had mock simulations of that in the fall, so we can front load and work on that during the focused learning time for our juniors that are going to take the test in March. And then also we had just this past summer, we focused on front loading for AP, first time AP and honor students. So they had some opportunity this summer to participate in that and in ACT boot camps also for our students.

So there are measures in place and I'm very fortunate for the federal money that was given to our schools, but the one thing that we see is that we had some money allocated also for additional supports in terms of behavioral

support and social and emotional support. And as you know, we contract with WellStone that has licensed LPCs. They are struggling also trying to find staff as well. So there's that one part that really is a concern for me, which is part of the plan that addresses the positive climate, because I feel like we've got to get that right, and we've all shared that today, the discipline piece, but more importantly just the positive climate where students feel welcome and part of the group, and reestablishing that collaborative environment in our schools that we had going into, as you see in our data, with our literacy pathway in our private classrooms.

I'm calling a great re-engagement rather than the great resignation, I think is how we have to look at things, and although I'm very emotional about it, it's also because I'm very passionate about it and making sure that we continue with that consistency and that standard based instruction that we saw across the district, but more importantly, in order to get our students to AP classes, they have to be prepared, and it starts in elementary. We all know that.

And all that to say -- I'm sorry. I'm starting from the beginning, because I haven't had a chance to see you,

Judge -- is the retention piece for our teachers. That is so critical. We see a mass exodus. It's just everywhere. I have to applaud our State superintendent who went to the

board. They have taken a lot of the requirements off of teaching certificates. So if there are teachers that are outside the state — and you may already know this — and we don't have reciprocity with the state, they are allowed to come and work. So that's a plus. Teachers that might be out of the field and teaching in another field that had a master's degree, they're going to benefit from that, which is great. That's another incentive.

And also looking at some other things, like the Praxis and the NTPA, all these extra requirements they are adding on to students to graduate, looking at pulling some of that back. Not to say that we don't want our teachers obviously to be ready in a classroom, but maybe starting recruiting more and to counsel post-secondary, because right now that is a major concern here in the state. I'm talking statewide, but what also concerns us locally is the pipeline is very, very shallow. The pool is very shallow for students that are in college education.

So we are hoping that one of the things that the State is doing, it might incentivize some of our students that are now leaving us to go into college education and maybe return to us.

All that to say, getting back to what we know works and what we were doing in the year before the pandemic hit us, a big take-away was it doesn't define us but it has made us

learn how we can do things better in education and be ready to pivot when things change.

That's kind of where we are now. We are moving to virtual next week. Our teachers are ready to do it, and we are going to keep pushing forward with that consistency as much as possible.

THE COURT: Thank you, Ms. Finley. And hopefully it will just be a short period that you all have to go to virtual. I know it has to be incredibly, incredibly difficult, and I think we were all — I can speak about this from the Court's perspective. We were feeling optimistic in November. We had made it through Delta and thought we had some breathing space and then you get hit again with a new version of Covid, Omicron, so I know it has been a tough time. And there's a lot that all districts, not just Huntsville, but all school systems are going to be working to recover and move on from once this difficult period is behind us.

Who is speaking for the United States, please, on this topic?

MS. HAMILTON: I have a few brief remarks, Your Honor. First, as with the other factors, we appreciate the DAC's analysis of the equitable access, for their annual report, and just their general review in this area overall. We also appreciate the remarks the superintendent made a moment ago just providing more context for the issues that the

district has been facing over the last several years, especially throughout the pandemic.

Kind of briefly, with regard to AP and honors, that as the DAC noted, there has been a continual trend of the percentage of black students in AP and honors are lower than white students participating in these courses. We do recognize in looking at the data that for the last school year, the percentage of black and white students participating in a lot of these courses decreased, but the gap also remains notwithstanding the decrease for both.

In particular, in '19-'20, the percentage of black students enrolled in at least one AP course was 17 percent and that number subsequently decreased each year from 17 to 16 percent and this year to 12 percent. Again, as noted, the numbers for white students have decreased, but they started at 39 percent and this year is at 34 percent. So there is a gap that exists between the two.

We appreciate the measures that the district has shared that have been taken to address this disparity and participation in AP courses. As Mr. Pape noted, obviously you can't recruit students for classes that don't wish to participate in those classes, but we do appreciate the district's efforts to identify the students who have whatever requisite courses are necessary and for those who haven't enrolled in AP or honors classes, to do an additional outreach

to encourage them to participate in those classes.

We also recognize that, as Ms. Finley said a moment ago, that this really is a pipeline issue and we really do have to start early to equip students so that they are prepared to take these classes. And so we do appreciate the steps that the district has described that they plan to take and have been taking with regard to curriculum and the number of other initiatives and measures that have been put in place, and we do hope that it produces that group of students to reach the grade level that they would be participating in honors and AP classes and that they can actually do so.

We also appreciated in the DAC report the DAC's acknowledgment of the student members on the committee. The DAC gave the example of students who shared that in their experience, many students were discouraged from taking AP and honors courses and that also contributed to the low number of the students who were in these classes, and we do believe that feedback is incredibly valuable. It sounds like the district has taken that information and is using that to inform some of its practices; but if not, we do encourage the district to take to heart the feedback it is receiving from the DAC and also from the AP and honor surveys that are administered each year, and we're glad to hear that there are changes that are being made to that survey to better gather feedback from the student population and from the teachers as well.

With regard to the achievement gap, generally, as the district shared, there are a lot of things that happen with regard to student performance that are not captured in the state—wide exams, and we appreciate the additional context that has been provided even just about the various formative assessments and other assessments throughout the school year where the district has been tracking growth and performance that indicates at different points that students have been benefiting from the curriculum measures in place but then also the fact that due to Covid and other reasons that have been shared, that there have also been ongoing challenges.

We do note just because this is data that we have that with regard to the ACT math and English scores for 11th grade and the 3rd and 8th grade math and reading scores that there have been significant gaps between the performance of black students and white students. That has been consistent. We would note that for the '20-'21 school year, the percentage of white students who demonstrate proficiency in math in the district was at 33 percent compared to only 2 percent of black students in the district. Similarly, for grades 3 through 8 in math, the percentage of white students who demonstrated proficiency on the exam was 40 percent compared to only 5 percent black.

Again, I'm sure the district would want to get the numbers better for all students, but we do want to ensure that

we just point out to the Court that these numbers are incredibly low and that — really, we're just trying to figure out how to address the disparity as best as possible and also to ensure that students are getting the support that they need.

THE COURT: Ms. Hamilton, let me interrupt you for just a second, because the district put that data in its last report, and just for the record, those test results appear in document 723 at pages 19 through 20. So thank you. Go ahead.

MS. HAMILTON: Also, Your Honor, we encourage the district to continue the measures that have been described that they are taking, and we do hope — again, we recognize that with the pandemic that the challenges that the district were already facing have been further complicated, but we are encouraged by some of the steps that have been described even on this call today as well as things that the district has been sharing with us this school year, and that we will continue to monitor what the district is doing, and we do hope that we will have an opportunity to observe the school in some capacity this year.

Just for the Court's benefit, the last school year, due to the pandemic, we were not able to physically get on-site. We conducted a virtual site visit, which included doing virtual classroom observations as well as some interviews. We're not sure, again, just given the current

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

rise of the Omicron variant, what that will look like this school year, but we have certainly been trying to find as many ways as possible to continue to monitor the district's compliance. THE COURT: Thank you, Ms. Hamilton. Mr. Debro, do you have anything on this factor? MR. DEBRO: Yes, Your Honor. I will be brief, as the numbers and the data has already been discussed. the district -- instead of just beating more on the district on where we are, I know we have to come up with solutions in helping, and I don't know whether this will help, but in this community of Huntsville where we have probably one of the most educated communities in the nation, I think this is an opportunity I see where students can go ahead and make a difference. We have three universities here in this community where some may be in the education area where I see opportunities for college students to go ahead and work and tutor and work with some of these students to achieve these gaps on where we are. There may be some that are in the AP courses that can start earlier on this pipeline and explaining to the younger generation the benefit to go ahead and start them earlier. I don't know whether that will help, but it's just something that we need to do to change these numbers as a

community to go ahead and increase the numbers to make sure

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

those gaps are not there like where they are now and just make sure those courses are available to all our students, to black students as well as the majority students in this community.

In the comparison of the AP courses at Grissom, Huntsville High, and Jemison, just even going back and looking at those courses that are being offered, maybe we can go ahead and encourage some others to broaden that scope over at It may be just lack of understanding or whatever it may be. But I know north Huntsville has a new library that could help with those reading scores. I notice in the report that there was partnerships with several corporations, but looking at opportunities and it may be one of the times where this community gets the benefit of our good superintendent going out and asking for more assistance. I don't know whether it's from the Boys & Girls Club or one of the D-9 organizations or whatever it is, but just to go ahead and reach out, because there are a lot of organizations in this community that do a lot of good work, some with tutoring, some without.

So there's just opportunities to go ahead and make some changes here, and I look forward to offering some suggestions to the district for that.

THE COURT: That would be great, Mr. Debro, for you to share resources that you may be aware of that will help the district.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

It is clear -- and all I'm doing is echoing what everyone else has said -- that the lack of participation in AP courses by black students is tied to the proficiency that black students have in different academic areas, and so the solution is one that is going to take an investment, not just in resources but in time, because the work has to begin at the elementary level to put children in a position to be successful and to excel and have all the opportunities that we want every child to have, and it seems as though -- and I'm separating this from Covid, and I know it's hard to think outside of Covid right now because it is impacting every area of our lives, and particularly so in school communities. But while the numbers may change some after Covid is behind us and while the numbers may change as students acclimate to this new testing tool, the disparities have been there for a long time in proficiency, and without some concerted effort to address them, they will -- they will be intractable. And if there is any vestige of de jure segregation that allows us statistically to measure the impact of de jure segregation, I would suggest to you that it's these proficiency measures. So, to me, that says that where the district is able to invest resources to tackle them, those resources are needed

So, to me, that says that where the district is able to invest resources to tackle them, those resources are needed tremendously, and I would just encourage the district when it's able to redirect some of the attention that it currently has to invest in Covid, that we all are dedicated to being

resources and helping in any way we can, and we look forward to seeing what the district can do to address those continuing disparities.

I know every teacher wants to see every student do well. I wonder, Superintendent Finley, does the school look at -- and I assume you do. Does the district look at these statistics on a school-by-school basis and not just a district-wide basis?

SUPERINTENDENT FINLEY: Yes, ma'am. We actually give schools their own report card, I guess, if you will, or their own goals, indicators of future success, and we are meeting with our principals in a couple of weeks to go over their benchmark to see where they are, talk about recruitment efforts, because we are about to open our registration for next year. That's for high school. But also looking at the elementary to see where their last star was, which was — we just completed a few weeks ago, to see if they are on track with the students that we have actually been able to see, like level one, two, and three — or three and four. One and two are not proficient. So we have identified those students, drilled them down, and they have been very strategic in how they are giving more support and intervention to the students as well.

I'll give you an idea that I used at Blossomwood to raise scores for the ARMT, which is very similarly scored,

where our teachers are actually going to push in and pull out students that are in the level one and two and work on how they are thinking questioning, because the ACAP is actually different from the Scantron. And so if the student isn't familiar with the test or the questioning, that adds another layer of difficulty; right. So that's another supportive service that we're doing just internally with our schools, and I'm going to be working with our principals on that to see where they are on that. The test is scheduled for the end of March, and everything is kind of subject now with a lot of schools going to virtual.

But at the end of the day, yes, ma'am, yes, Your

Honor, we are working with our schools, and that is our

next — next week, week after next, to discuss their current

benchmark and where they are with the students to move them in

that proficiency, in that growth piece.

THE COURT: I asked the question about whether the district looks at these proficiency scores on a school-by-school basis because I just wonder whether you see differences in predominantly black schools, in schools that have student bodies that are closer in percentages of — you know, I think of a Blossomwood. I think of some of the other — I think it's Jones, that have student bodies that are a little more balanced racially, and then the predominantly white schools, to see how the black students in those schools

are performing, and I just was curious to know whether there's any information in that data that helps everyone understand what we see in the overall numbers.

SUPERINTENDENT FINLEY: Yes, Your Honor. We have actually drilled it down also by subgroups, looking at the black students, white students. We are looking at special education, EL populations, poverty, and a lot of those students fall within those same categories. So that has all been desegregated. They also have that in a dashboard that's available on the State Department website as well as on the ACAP, part of the accountability. We also have that, that we help and give those principals in case they have any difficulty with it, Your Honor — that has been presented as part of our discussion to see where we are, and that they see movement with the students identified.

THE COURT: Good. One of the discouraging pieces of news, if it's true in Huntsville as it appears to be elsewhere, the pandemic is having a bigger impact, it seems, from what I have read, on black students than it has on white students. So that's almost a double whammy for trying to address these issues. But I think you basically referred to this in one comment that you made, Superintendent Finley. It is what it is. You take where you are and you have to work from where you are, and so that's just going to be something that the district will have to weave in to the work that it's

doing.

But I certainly think that addressing some of these academic issues will hopefully feed in to the discipline issues that we discussed. When students feel good about themselves and they feel good about the work they are doing and they are seeing the fruits of all their effort, that certainly seems in the most instances to help address discipline issues.

So there's so much that is interwoven here, and even going back to our early topic of extracurricular activities and clubs, using those as a means to build that self-esteem and that curiosity, it's just all works together. And as important as it is to any district to get to the point where they no longer have supervision, it's hard to ignore the fact that so many of these Green factors operate hand in hand, and success overall can't be achieved without paying close attention to each of them.

Mr. Pape, did you have another topic you wanted to move to?

MR. PAPE: Your Honor, I actually think that is the -- I believe that's all of my notes and all of the topics we had prepared. If there's any other issues, we would be happy to speak to those if we have information available.

THE COURT: I'm looking through my notes.

Ms. Hamilton, any other broad issues for the United States?

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

There are no other major areas MS. HAMILTON: specific to the Green factors. So similar to Mr. Pape, we are willing to respond to any questions or topics that the Court is interested in hearing about. THE COURT: Mr. Debro? MR. DEBRO: Yes, Your Honor. Just as an old issue, some time ago the Court will probably remember we had the question about the students that lived in the area of Sparkman I'm not sure whether the district was able to track that population to see if they are still in the system or what is the current status of that group of students. Your Honor, I could probably ask MR. PAPE: Dr. Smith to address that. I know he was working on that particular issue, if the Court would like to hear about that. THE COURT: Sure.

DR. SMITH: We worked with the Huntsville Housing
Authority to identify who were those students who might be
displaced. The way -- kind of what they did with that
population is for the ones who were being displaced, they gave
vouchers, some of them within the district. I believe some
were out. But most of them actually ended up being really in
the same general area, in that kind Hereford, Blossomwood,
Jones Valley kind of region.

So for the students who were a little bit older, the middle school students, the high school students, there really

wasn't a ton of disruption in terms of where they were going to school. You know, if their elementary feeder changed but they are in high school, they would still be going to Huntsville High. But the younger students who we identified, we did reach out to those families at the beginning of the year — I think it was actually probably over the summer — and just said, hey, we understand the situation that you are in; regardless of where that voucher is pointing you, you are free to continue in that feeder pattern for your duration at Huntsville City Schools.

We had some take advantage of that. We had some not take advantage of it. For instance, if they had been zoned to another one of our schools, they were okay going there. Like, for instance, with the elementary schools and some of the changes in those schools — some of them wanted to stay where they were. I know we did have an issue or two where I worked with some families because they had originally wanted to stay with what their priorly zoned school was and then afterwards just realized, hey, this is not — I would rather go down the street to Jones Valley, or whatever.

So there were some kind of August adjustments that the principal, Dr. Scott, over there in Blossomwood and the principal, Ms. Alexander, over there at Jones Valley helped us to kind of get those students moved around. We are going to keep track of who they are, and as long as they want that, as

```
long as they want to kind of continue in that feeder pattern,
 1
    I don't think the district has a problem with letting them
 2
    continue.
 3
 4
              Like I said, the disruption was actually, I think, a
 5
    little bit more minimal than we realized it would be just in
 6
    terms of it wasn't all the students who were zoned for Jones
 7
    Valley were now moved over there to Providence or something
    like that.
                Some of them, a lot of the vouchers kind of were
 8
    within the area. I hope that answers the question there.
 9
10
              But that's something that we are keeping on our
           I believe -- I'm sorry I'm talking too much, but I
11
    think they are actually closing -- I would have to check with
12
    the Huntsville Housing Authority. I think they have a plan
13
14
    around some of that mid town area to kind of make adjustments
15
    to some of those other units where they have families located,
    and so hopefully we can use this as a model, do it even a
16
17
    little more systematically where we can offer that same
    benefit.
18
              Mr. Debro, or anybody else, Mr. Pape, if you hear of
19
20
    some of that, let us know, because we definitely want to reach
21
    out and make sure we offer that service to those families.
22
              THE COURT: Does that answer your question,
    Mr. Debro?
23
24
              MR. DEBRO: Yes, ma'am, it did. Thanks so much.
25
              THE COURT:
                          Sure. Was there anything else for you?
```

MR. DEBRO: Nothing further at this time.

THE COURT: Superintendent Finley and Dr. Smith, first of all, thank you so much for all the time you have given us today. I know things are particularly difficult right now, and I'm sorry to hear that you're having to go to remote learning again next week, but hopefully it will be short-lived. And among the many heroes of the pandemic are the teachers and administrators who have worked so hard to keep life as normal as possible for students, and I salute all of you. Very hard, very difficult work.

I know that your effort has been to keep things as normal as possible, and because for the Huntsville district, part of normal is this consent order. I'm grateful that the district is willing to continue these conversations even in the midst of the pandemic. So thank you for your time and your effort.

Going back to that sort of big picture conversation about the DAC's efforts and the district's work with the DAC, those of us who have been part of this process for several years now know that just figuring out the DAC's place in this consent order was a big process, and we have been so fortunate to have so many parents and now so many students be willing to participate and volunteer their time because they are so invested in the district and they want so badly to see the district succeed broadly and in its ability to satisfy the

consent order.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

In mentioning that the DAC doesn't have to take a deep dive into the statistics in the annual report, I suspect some of that work comes from that dedication that the parents have and their desire to really do a great job for the district. And I suspect, too, that if the DAC isn't getting a lot of feedback from the dropboxes from community meetings, from all the different ways that they try to reach out and gather information so that they can fulfill their obligation to the district and their obligation under the consent order, they are going to turn to those statistics because it's their way of being able to provide feedback, and so it's incumbent on all of us, to the extent that we can, to help make the consent order and the reports that the district worked so hard to provide, consistent with the obligations under the consent order, accessible. And if they are accessible to the DAC, all the members of the DAC, then they are going to be accessible to all parents in the community and all stakeholders in the community.

So that's something that has a lot of merit to it in a very broad sense. And those of us who have been part of this process also know that there's a difficult line in the district's work with the DAC because the DAC needs to be independent and needs to be perceived as independent for people to be willing to come forward and share concerns with

the DAC, but the DAC also needs a certain level of assistance to be able to function, because it doesn't have an independent source of funding. It doesn't have its own infrastructure to accomplish a lot of the work that it does.

So I would be delighted to hear suggestions from anyone as our final little bit of conversation here today about how we can continue to support the DAC as best we can and we can continue to show our appreciation for everything that these parents and students are doing for the district, because we are all going to make mistakes. I will be the first one to misread data, to forget something in the consent order, to have to go remind myself of some of the requirements of the consent order, and ask the lawyers to help me refocus. And so I certainly understand why a member of the DAC would need that assistance as well.

And I want to make sure that we are recognizing the significant contribution that these parents and students are making and doing everything we can to help them satisfy their obligations and just their sincere wish to do well by all the stakeholders in the district. So I'm happy to start with anyone who would like to speak to that.

MR. PAPE: Your Honor, I would like to speak on behalf of the district team. I think that we have wrestled with that specific issue of how much support is too much support versus autonomy, and it is a difficult issue, and I'm

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

not sure we all necessarily appreciated it in the development of this process years ago. You know, putting it into action, I don't know that that occurred to us, in hindsight.

I do think an aspect that would potentially help and help maybe even avoid where there's inadvertent messaging in the superintendent's response that almost seems being more adversarial with the DAC about trying to defend itself in the data is trying to -- and I don't necessarily think formalizing is the right word, and I guess this is also an easy suggestion for me to make, to volunteer someone else on this call more work. Sorry, Dr. Smith. But the superintendent and Dr. Smith and their team -- I know Dr. Smith has extended the -- I don't want to say olive branch; a friendly handshake multiple times, and I don't know -- you know, put the ball in the court of the DAC to decide how best to use someone with his expertise to be able to break down data in a way that lets them have a dialogue. They can certainly draw their own conclusions from what he helps them understand. They certainly do not have to accept -- because he does work for the district. He is a district employee. But we have some of these individuals with expertise that are different than just serving as a liaison. Dr. McNeal has done a great job of just being the liaison in terms of coordinating when they have building needs or things like that.

But there's a certain element to, I think,

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

```
understanding the data that having that twice a year meeting
with the superintendent may be a little nerve wracking.
I mean, I take that for granted. I meet with the
superintendent all the time. Our team does that regularly.
Whereas, if you're a parent, you don't have that option. And
so it's almost like -- and I don't know that it necessarily
has to be a formal change, but if it was almost like an
authorization that it is okay to have sit-downs with data with
people at the district who are responsible for helping manage
that, to work through it, so it's not seen as infringing on
their autonomy, but almost authority for them to meet and then
draw their own distinctions, so they are not having to work in
a vacuum or relearn Excel if they haven't learned it in years
in trying to do the -- I don't know how that would look, but
just as a conversation starter, I think we have some team
members who would much rather not wait to find out that there
have been such -- well, we think maybe misunderstandings in
the data before coming to the report and then they could have
a more effective report where they feel their voice is heard
prior to it becoming a written document and we're in this back
and forth formal filing that feels almost like litigation and
less of a collaboration.
                     Thank you.
         THE COURT:
         Who else would like to weigh in?
         MS. HAMILTON: Your Honor, I appreciate all of your
```

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

words about the role of the DAC and the importance of us being able to support them while also recognizing their independence, which has been a difficult line to walk over the years.

One thing that I would add is that I have found that it has been very beneficial when the parties had opportunities to reach out to the DAC chair to find out if they have any needs for technical assistance or general questions in They often do a great job of articulating what their support. concerns are. And I have also found from year to year that those needs look different. Things that we discovered with this current DAC when we started the school year was that they wanted the initial training to look different than it had from prior years, so instead of doing one long training where we went over all of the Green factors and provided a lot of substantive information, they felt that it would be much more beneficial to talk about more of the ins and outs of what does it mean to be a DAC member and what does that look like in practice, and where we really did a deeper dive into the Green factors. And they've had also other suggestions that they want their meetings to look different and other resources and supports that they would like. So I think the parties, that we can reach out to the current chair the second semester of the school year just to check in and touch base to see how the school year is going.

As we continue to build a relationship with this chair, as we had with the prior chair who held that position for many years, we just want to make sure that he knows he is more then welcome to reach out to the parties for that type of assistance while also not being so much communication that it impacts their ability to maintain their independence.

THE COURT: One of the suggestions, I believe, in the DAC report — I apologize for speaking over someone just now — was moving the selection process for the DAC earlier so that the training that you just mentioned, Ms. Hamilton, can happen over the summer and the DAC feels like it can hit the ground running. You know, we've missed that early — the first meeting for several years now. Some of that is on me for not getting back to you all when you get me names. I need to do that right away, and any time lost on that is not helpful to the DAC, so that's an area of improvement for me. But if that's something that you all think we could do feasibly, move up the selection process?

MS. HAMILTON: The district (inaudible) -- the logistics of what that looks like in terms of getting applications out during the school year. I know right now the application window -- the selection process ends over the summer, so it automatically sets things in course for us not to be able to begin training to do that until the new school year. I think their suggestion makes a lot of sense. I would

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

be curious to hear from the district more about the logistics of how to do that.

MR. PAPE: Your Honor, to speak to that, I think that as of right now -- and again this may be one of those hindsight moments. In trying to set it up near the end of the year, it often does run in to graduation, it often runs into soliciting applications, and it's difficult to fault any student or parent who is sort of getting to that mind-set of, hey, I'm wrapping my year up; I'm not looking for the next thing. Moving it up earlier, since we have a lot of the same forms and we have a lot of the same processes, I think it would be fairly easy to move it up a little bit more, maybe mid-semester, so by the end of the year they know what their summertime plans would be. I think we could explore and collaborate with the United States about a decent time frame and propose that back to the Court, and obviously Mr. Debro, and propose that back to the Court. I think it's called for or it's called out specifically in the consent order.

THE COURT: It is.

MR. PAPE: -- work through to correct that once we came up with an idea. But we are happy to do that, to work with the parties on that.

THE COURT: And I would suggest maybe including
Mr. Gregory in that conversation to get some feedback and some
more specifics from the DAC about how they would envision

using that earlier identification of the new DAC members to facilitate some training over the summer.

But, yes, if you all are willing to discuss that, I thought that was a helpful suggestion from the DAC, and it would be great to be able to respond specifically to something that they had proposed for us.

I did begin to talk over someone else, I think. So who else wanted to speak, please?

MR. DEBRO: I don't think anyone else was speaking, but I will add that from where we've come, I would say we have really progressed. The relationship that started out with the DAC and the whole process of trying to find our way started out rocky, but we have evolved and I think we have really advanced, and we've had some challenges over the years, but I think we are moving in the right direction. And we're going to have some changes. We're going to have continued challenges, but I think it's a good check and balance and I think it's a good way to get feedback not only for the Court but also for the district and for the community. So I think it has been very beneficial to have the DAC, even though we've had some past challenges.

I like the fact that they are offering these suggestions for us to move forward and for the betterment of this community and this case.

THE COURT: All right. Very good. Well, Mr. Pape,

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

you hit the nail on the head. I did feel like when I was reading the district's response to the DAC report that it was an adversarial piece as opposed to a conversation in which we're just sharing the information that we're aware of to fill in the story that was presented to us, and when I think about just what is in the best interest of the district in terms of overall satisfaction with the district and just healthy relationships, that seems a better way to approach it. And when I think about the legal obligation of good faith, I think a tone that's too adversarial has the ability to chill communication, because if somebody thinks as soon as I tell you what I think, you're going to slap me down and tell me I absolutely disagree with this, that, unfortunately, has the potential to dissuade open and honest communication. Just like Ms. Finley in her response talked about how important it is not to have teachers underreport discipline because they are afraid of having some bad consequence come from that.

We just always need to be aware in our communication, me included, that when we sometimes express our point of view in an adversarial way, we may impact our ability to get information we need from people who are trying to share it for productive purpose.

So I thank you for you finding the word, Mr. Pape, and your recognition that that's what everybody is working toward here.

Is there any concluding remark that -- let me start 1 with you, Mr. Debro. Any concluding remarks from you today? 2 3 MR. DEBRO: Nothing further, Your Honor. 4 THE COURT: Anything for the United States? 5 MS. HAMILTON: We just thank the Court for making 6 time to have this today and to provide a venue for the parties 7 to provide an update, and we also appreciate the work of the DAC, especially in light of the pandemic. They produced two 8 reports that provided very helpful information under very 9 10 challenging circumstances. THE COURT: Yes, ma'am. 11 Mr. Pape? 12 MR. PAPE: Yes, Your Honor. On behalf of the team 13 14 and the district, I want to say thank you, and, I quess, if 15 it's okay with the Court, give the superintendent an opportunity if she wants to say anything else. You all have 16 17 heard quite a bit from me today. THE COURT: Of course. 18 SUPERINTENDENT FINLEY: To the DOJ attorneys and 19 20 Mr. Debro, thank you for letting us be here today. And I love 21 your idea, Judge Haikala, of the collaborative approach. I'm 22 a former school counselor and that's all I know, and so I would be remiss if I didn't apologize, because that is not the 23 24 tone I use and I wouldn't want to make it adversarial. 25 moving forward, I would even love to be more involved, and I

```
don't know if I can because I know it's written in the consent
 1
    order, but I miss being a liaison of the DAC. And they do
 2
 3
    bring forth a lot of great ideas. I'm actually working with
    the DAC students now. I would love to be more involved if
 4
    it's possible. But, again, thank you, and I look forward to
 5
    being more collaborative.
 6
 7
              THE COURT: Terrific. Well, thank you all.
    whole collection of people we have here who have given so much
8
    time this afternoon is a great team. Everybody has their job
 9
10
    to do, but everyone has done it so well today. So thank you
11
    for that. So take care. Stay well.
12
              (End of proceedings.)
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
```

$\texttt{C} \;\; \texttt{E} \;\; \texttt{R} \;\; \texttt{T} \;\; \texttt{I} \;\; \texttt{F} \;\; \texttt{I} \;\; \texttt{C} \;\; \texttt{A} \;\; \texttt{T} \;\; \texttt{I} \;\; \texttt{O} \;\; \texttt{N}$

I hereby certify that the foregoing transcript in the above-styled cause is true and accurate.

Les S. Jures

Leah S. Turner, RMR, CRR Federal Official Court Reporter